arXiv:math-ph/0308019v1 16 Aug 2003

Two-dimensional Toda lattice, commuting difference operators and holomorphic vector bundles.

I.Krichever [*] S.Novikov [†]

Аннотация

High rank solutions to the $2D$ Toda Lattice System are constructed simultaneously with the effective calculation of coefficients of the higher rank commuting ordinary difference operators. Our technic is based on the study of discrete dynamics of Tyurin parameters characterizing the stable holomorphic vector bundles over the algebraic curves (Riemann Surfaces).

1 Introduction.

The aim of this work is to consider a circle of problems closely related to the construction of algebro-geometric high rank solutions of the two-dimensional ($2D$) Toda lattice

$$\partial^2_{\xi\eta}\varphi_n = e^{\varphi_n-\varphi_{n-1}} - e^{\varphi_{n+1}-\varphi_n}. \tag{1.1}$$

High rank solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) equation

$$3u_{yy} = (4u_t - 6uu_x + u_{xxx})_x \tag{1.2}$$

were constructed in the earlier work of the authors [1]. Their construction, as well as the notion of *rank* of the solutions was based on the theory of commuting ordinary differential operators [2]. In modern mathematical physics this theory arisen as an algebraic by-product of the integration theory of soliton equations and the spectral theory of periodic finite-gap linear differential operators [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] initiated in [7].

The KP equation, as well as any other soliton equation, is a part of the corresponding hierarchy of commuting nonlinear partial differential equations. A solution $u = u(t)$ of the KP hierarchy is a function of an infinite set of variables $t = (t_1 = x, t_2 = y, t_3 = t, t_4, \ldots)$. Beginning with the work [7], the algebro-geometric solutions of integrable (1+1)-systems of the KdV type are singled out by the constraint that they are stationary solutions for one

---

[*] Columbia University, Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics and ITEP, Moscow, Russia. Research is supported in part by National Science Foundation under the grant DMS-01-04621

[†] University of Maryland, College Park and Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow . Research is supported in part by National Science Foundation under the grant DMS-00-72700

of the flows of corresponding hierarchy. The algebro-geometric solutions of the KP equation were found in the work [4]. They are singled out by the constraint that they are stationary for *two* flows of the hierarchy $\partial_{t_n} u = \partial_{t_m} u = 0$. This constraint is equivalent to the existence of a pair of commuting ordinary differential operators

$$[L_n, L_m] = 0, \quad L_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} u_i \partial_x^i, \quad L_m = \sum_{j=0}^{m} v_j \partial_x^j \ , \tag{1.3}$$

that commute also with the auxiliary Lax operators $\partial_y - L_2$, $\partial_t - L_3$ for the KP equation found in [8, 9],

$$L_2 = \partial_x^2 + u, \ L_3 = \partial_x^3 + \frac{3}{2}\, u\partial_x + w\, . \tag{1.4}$$

Therefore, pairs of commuting operators define invariant subspaces for the KP hierarchy. By definition, rank r of a pair of commuting operators is the number of linear independent common eigenfunctions, i.e. the number of solutions of the equations

$$L_n \psi^i = E\psi^i, \quad L_m \psi^i = w\, \psi^i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, r\ , \tag{1.5}$$

for (E, w) such that a solution exists. The rank of the pair of commuting differential operators is a common divisor of orders of the operators.

The classification problem of commuting ordinary differential operators with scalar coefficients was considered as a pure algebraic problem by Burchnal and Chaundy in the 20-s [10, 11]. They solved the problem for the case of operators of co-prime orders (where the rank always equals 1) although no explicit expressions for the coefficients of the operators were found. Effective classification of commuting rank 1 operators were obtained in [4]. Burchnal and Chaundy emphasized (see [11]), that the problem for the case of rank $r > 1$ is very complicated.

The first steps were made in [12, 13]. A method of effective classification of commuting generic differential operators of rank $r > 1$ was developed by the authors in [1, 2]. Commuting pairs of differential operators rank r depend on $(r-1)$ *arbitrary functions* of one variable, smooth algebraic curve Γ with *one* puncture P and a set of the Tyurin parameters for a framed stable holomorphic vector bundle over Γ. The corresponding constructions are called *one-point* constructions.

For the $2D$ Toda lattice equations the constraints that single out algebro-geometric solutions can be described in the same way as in the KP theory. The condition that these solutions are stationary for two flows of the hierarchy is equivalent to the existence of a pair of commuting ξ, η-dependent *difference* operators

$$L = \sum_{i=-N_-}^{N_+} u_i(n) T^i, \quad A = \sum_{i=-M_-}^{M_+} v_i(n) T^i, \tag{1.6}$$

that commute also with the Lax operators

$$Ł_1 = \partial_\xi - T - w(n), \quad Ł_2 = \partial_\eta - c(n) T^{-1}, \tag{1.7}$$

$$w(n) = \varphi_{n\xi}, \ c(n) = e^{\varphi_n - \varphi_{n+1}}, \tag{1.8}$$

for the $2D$ Toda equations. Here and below T denotes the shift operator, $Ty_n = y_{n+1}$.

The well-known theory of commuting rank $r = 1$ scalar difference operators was made of only *two-point constructions* [14, 15]. A ring of such operators is isomorphic to the ring $A(\Gamma, P^{\pm})$ of meromorphic functions on an algebraic curve Γ with the poles at two punctures $P^{\pm}$. Remarkable, *almost graded* structure of these rings, their connections with the Fourier-Laurent type basis on Riemann surfaces, was developed by the authors and used for the string theory [16].

The classification problem of commuting difference operators in its full generality has not been solved yet. Analysis of the problem presented in section 2 of this article has allowed the authors to obtain some new important results. These results were announced in [21, 22]. It turns out that commuting rank $r = 1$ difference operators can be obtained with the help of *multi-point* constructions with any number of infinite points including one point if rank is even (which is most astonishing). Multi-point constructions are well known in the theory of commuting differential operators with matrix coefficients [4]. It seems reasonable to consider commuting difference operators constructed with the help of only *one- and two-point* constructions, as a difference analog of commuting differential operators with scalar coefficients. For the authors the supporting evidence of this suggestion is that only in these two cases the rings of commuting operators are invariant with respect to $2D$ Toda hierarchy.

It is necessary to emphasize that one- and two-point constructions differ drastically. There are no functional parameters in the two-point case. Coefficients of the corresponding operators can be written in terms of the Riemann theta-functions. The one-point construction of commuting operators of rank $l > 1$ contains *functional parameters.* The coefficients of commuting operators depend on l arbitrary functions of discrete variable, smooth algebraic curve Γ with *puncture* P and a set of Tyurin parameters. As in continuous case [2], the reconstruction problem of the eigenfunctions of the corresponding operators is equivalent to the Riemann problem on Γ, and in general can not be solved explicitly. At the same time, as it was found in [1], in some cases the reconstruction problem for the coefficients of the commuting operators can be solved explicitly. The solution is based on equations for the Tyurin parameters describing their dependence on normalization point. Note, that in the recent work of one of the authors [17], connections of continuous deformations of the Tyurin parameters, describing high rank solutions of the KP equation, and the Hitchin systems were established [18]. Using a discrete dynamics of the Tyurin parameters, the authors found explicit form of rank 2 commuting operators corresponding to an elliptic curve with *one* puncture. The ring of such operators is generated by a pair of operators L and A of orders 4 and 6, respectively:

$$L = \sum_{i=-2}^{2} u_i(n)T^i, \quad A = \sum_{i=-3}^{3} v_i(n)T^i \,.$$

The coefficients of the operators depend on two arbitrary functions γ_n and s_n. In the simplest case an explicit form of L is given by the formulae:

$$L = L_2^2 - \wp(\gamma_n) - \wp(\gamma_{n-1}),$$

where L_2 is the difference Schrödinger operator

$$L_2 = T + v_n + c_nT^{-1}$$

with the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} 4c_{n+1} &= (s_n^2-1)F(\gamma_{n+1},\gamma_n)F(\gamma_{n-1},\gamma_n), \\ 2v_{n+1} &= s_nF(\gamma_{n+1},\gamma_n)-s_{n+1}F(\gamma_n,\gamma_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(u,v)=\zeta(u+v)-\zeta(u-v)-2\zeta(v).$$

Here and below $\wp(u)=\wp(u|\omega,\omega')$, $\zeta(u)=\zeta(u|\omega,\omega')$ are classical Weiershtrass functions.

These formulae are discrete analogs of the formulae for differential operator L_4 of the order 4 and rank 2, obtained by the authors in [19]. In [20] an explicit expression of the functional parameter in the formulae for L_4 corresponding to the Dixmier operator [12] was found. Coefficients of this operator are polynomial functions of the independent variable x. It would be interesting to find a discrete analog of the Dixmier operator.

The construction of high rank algebro-geometric solutions of the $2D$ Toda lattice was briefly described in [21, 22]. We discuss it in detail in Section 3. In is based on the construction of the vector Baker-Akhiezer function as a deformation of the eigenfunctions of commuting differential operators. These deformations are defined by the form of the Baker-Akhiezer functions in the neighborhood of punctures. The form is defined with the help of some *germ* matrix functions. Once again it is necessary to stress the difference between one and two puncture cases.

In the two-point case (in which there are no functional parameters in the construction of commuting differential operators), the Baker-Akhiezer function is defined by two auxiliary germ functions $\Psi_+(\xi,z)$, $\Psi_-(\eta,z)$. Each of them is defined by an ordinary differential equation containing arbitrary functions of one of the continuous variables ξ or η, respectively. In the one-puncture case, functional parameters are present in the construction of the corresponding commuting operators, but there is no ambiguity in the definition of the germ function $\Psi_0(n,\xi,\eta,z)$.

In both the cases the multi-parametric Baker-Akhiezer functions $\psi_n=(\psi_n^i)$ satisfy the equations

$$\text{Ł}_1\psi_n=0, \quad \text{Ł}_2\psi_n=0, \tag{1.9}$$

where Ł_1, Ł_2 are operators of the form (1.7). Compatibility of (1.9) is equivalent to (1.1). Equations (1.9) imply also that for each n the Baker-Akhiezer function, as a function of the variables (ξ,η), satisfies the linear Schrödinger equation:

$$\left(\partial^2_{\xi,\eta}+v_n(\xi,\eta)\partial_\xi+u_n(\xi,\eta)\right)\psi_n=0. \tag{1.10}$$

Therefore, our constructions provide at the same time the construction of two-dimensional Schrödinger operators in the magnetic field that are integrable on one energy level.

Inverse spectral problem on *one energy level* for the Schrödinger operators in a magnetic field was introduced and solved in [23] for the rank 1 case. The construction of $2D$ Schródinger operators of arbitrary rank integrable on one energy level was proposed in [19]. All these constructions are *two-point* constructions. The possibility of the one-point construction of integrable Schródinger operators has never been discussed until now .

We would like to stress that the problem of construction of solutions of the $2D$ Toda lattice equations is equivalent to the problem of construction of integrable Schrödinger operators in a magnetic field. In the modern theory of integrable systems equations (1.1) and its Lax representation were obtained in the framework of the Zakharov-Shabat scheme as two dimensional analog of the one-dimensional Toda lattice ([24]). Later it became clear that these equations had been known in the classical differential geometry in an equivalent form of chains of the Laplace transformations for the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator ([25]).

Let us consider the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator L in a magnetic field

$$2L = (\partial_{\bar z} + B)(\partial_z + A) + 2V, \tag{1.11}$$

where $\partial_z = \partial_x - i\partial_y$, $\partial_{\bar z} = \partial_x + i\partial_y$. Usually the functions $H = (B_z - A_{\bar z})/2$ и $U = -H - V$ are called *magnetic field* and *potential*, respectively. Below, for brevity, the function V would be called the potential of L. The operator L is defined up to the gauge transformation $L \to e^{-f} L e^{f}$. The only invariants of L are the potential V and the magnetic field H. *Laplace transformation* is a two-dimensional analog of the Bäclund-Darboux transformation. It is defined as follows

$$(\partial_{\bar z} + B)(\partial_z + A) + 2V \quad \longmapsto \quad \widetilde{V}(\partial_z + A)V^{-1}(\bar\partial_z + B) + 2V. \tag{1.12}$$

The potential $\widetilde{V}$ and the magnetic field $\widetilde{H}$ of the transformed operator are given by the formulae

$$\widetilde{V} = V + \tilde{H}, \qquad 2\widetilde{H} = 2H + \Delta \ln V. \tag{1.13}$$

If a function ψ satisfies the equation $L\psi = 0$, then the function $\widetilde{\psi} = (\partial_z + A)\,\psi$ is a solution of the equation $\widetilde{L}\,\widetilde{\psi} = 0$. Let us consider a chain the Laplace transformations

$$V_{n+1} = V_n + H_{n+1}, \quad 2H_{n+1} = 2H_n + \Delta \ln V_n. \tag{1.14}$$

After the change of variables $V_k = \ln(\varphi_n - \varphi_{k-1})$ the chain of the Laplace transformations becomes equivalent to the the $2D$ Toda lattice equations (1.1).

2 Commuting difference operators

2.1 Formulation of the problem.

The main goal of this section is to construct an effective classification of commuting

$$[L, A] = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

scalar difference operators of the form

$$L = \sum_{i=-N_-}^{N_+} u_i(n) T^i, \quad A = \sum_{i=-M_-}^{M_+} v_i(n) T^i\,, \quad N_\pm = r_\pm n_\pm, \quad M_\pm = r_\pm m_\pm, \tag{2.2}$$

where $r_\pm$ are maximum common divisors of maximum and minimum orders of the operators, respectively, i.e. the non-zero numbers $n_\pm, m_\pm$ are co-prime:

$$(n_+, m_+) = (n_-, m_-) = 1. \tag{2.3}$$

Let us assume, that the leading coefficients of these operators are different from zero. For brevity, they are denoted by

$$u^\pm(n) = u_{\pm N_\pm}(n) \neq 0, \quad v^\pm(n) = v_{\pm M_\pm}(n) \neq 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Equation (2.1) is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$L, A \longmapsto \tilde{L} = gLg^{-1}, \tilde{A} = gAg^{-1}, \quad \tilde{L} = \sum_{i=-N_-}^{N_+} g(n+i)g^{-1}(n)u_i(n)T^i, \tag{2.5}$$

where $g(n) \neq 0$ is an arbitrary non-vanishing function of the discrete variable n. This transformation can be used for the following normalization of L

$$u^+(n) = 1. \tag{2.6}$$

The normalization (2.6) which will be always assumed below, is invariant under the gauge transformations corresponding to the functions $g(n)$ such that $g(n+N_+) = g(n)$. Equation (2.1) implies that the leading coefficient of the operator A satisfies the equation $v^+(n+N_+) = v^+(n)$. Therefore, the gauge transformations can be used in order to fix the normalization

$$v^+(n+r_+) = v^+(n) = v^+_{\bar{n}} \tag{2.7}$$

in addition to (2.6). Here $\bar{n}$ is a residue of n mod r_+, i.e. $n \to \bar{n} = n(\text{mod } r_+),\ 0 \leq \bar{n} < r_+ - 1$. The normalization (2.6,2.7) is invariant under the gauge transformations corresponding to the functions $g(n)$ such that $g(n+r_+) = g(n)$.

If the normalization (2.6,2.7) is fixed, then for the coefficients on the other edge have the form

$$u^-(n) = h^{-1}(n-N_-)h(n), \quad v^-(n) = v^-_{\tilde{n}} h^{-1}(n-M_-)h(n). \tag{2.8}$$

Here $\tilde{n} = n(\text{mod } r_-)$.

In the case $r_+ = r_- = r > 1$ the commutativity equations have additional symmetry. Namely, let y_n be a function of the discrete variable n, then for any $0 \leq i < r$ we define a new function Y_n

$$Y_n = \begin{cases} 0, & n \neq i \ (mod\ r) \\ y_{\bar{n}}, & n = r\bar{n} + i \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

Under that correspondence a difference operator of order N defines an operator of order rN. The direct sum of r pairs of commuting operators $[L_i, A_i] = 0$ considered as operators acting on defined above sublattices, satisfies a non-degeneracy conditions (2.4) and the commutativity equation (2.1).

Below we will consider only commuting pairs of *irreducible* operators, i.e. operators that have no block-diagonal or jordan-cell form under decomposition of the n-lattice into a sum of sublattices $kr + i,\ 0 \leq i < r - 1$. A pair of commuting operators is irreducible if, for example, for each $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$ there is n_0 so that

$$v_{rm_+ - i}(n_0) \neq 0, \ v_{-rm_- + i}(n_0) \neq 0. \tag{2.10}$$

2.2 Spectral curve. Formal infinities.

In the discrete case an *affine* spectral curve is defined identically to the continuous case. Let us consider a linear space $\mathcal{L}(E)$ of solutions of the equation $Ly = Ey$, i.e.

$$y_{N_+} + \sum_{i=-N_-}^{N_+-1} u_i(n) y_{n+i} = E y_n. \tag{2.11}$$

Dimension of this space is equal to the order of L, $\dim \mathcal{L}(E) = N_+ + N_-$. Restriction of A onto $\mathcal{L}(E)$,

$$A(E) = A|_{\mathcal{L}(E)}, \tag{2.12}$$

is a finite-dimensional linear operator. The spectral curve, which parameterizes common eigenfunctions of L and A, is defined by the characteristic equation

$$R(w, E) = \det(w - A(E)) = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

Let c^i be a basis of solutions of (2.11), defined by the initial conditions

$$c_n^i = \delta_n^i, \quad i, n = -N_-, \ldots, N_+ - 1\,. \tag{2.14}$$

Matrix entries of $A(E)$ in that basis are polynomial functions of the variable E. Therefore, $R(w, E)$ is a polynomial in both the variables w and E.

Compactification of the spectral curve. In the discrete case a construction of common eigenfunctions of commuting operators at infinity $E \to \infty$ is on the whole parallel to the continuous case, but at the same time contains some new important features.

Let $Ł_+$ be the linear space of solutions of the equation

$$L\Phi = z^{-N_+}\Phi, \quad \Phi = \{\Phi_n\} \tag{2.15}$$

of the form

$$\Phi_n(z) = z^{-n}\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \xi_s(n) z^s\right). \tag{2.16}$$

It is assumed that $\xi_0(n)$ is not equal to zero for at least one value of n.

Lemma 2.1 *The space $Ł_+$, considered as a linear space over the field k_+ of Laurent series in the variable z, is of dimension N_+. It is spanned by the solutions Φ^i, $i = 0, \ldots, N_+ - 1$, uniquely defined by the conditions*

$$\Phi_n^i(z) = z^{-n}\delta_{ni}, \quad n, i = 0, \ldots, N_+ - 1. \tag{2.17}$$

For the proof of this Lemma it is enough to note that a substitution of the series (2.16) into (2.15) gives a recurrent system of equations for the coefficients of (2.16)

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_0(n + N_+) &= \xi_0(n), \\ \xi_1(n + N_+) &= \xi_1(n) - u_{n+N_+-1}(n)\xi_0(n + N_+ - 1), \quad \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

The system implies that Φ is uniquely defined by the initial data $\xi_s(i),\ i = 0, \ldots, N_+ - 1$.

The space $Ł_+$ is invariant with respect to the operator A. Therefore,

$$A\Phi_n^i(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{N_+-1} A_{ij}(z)\Phi_n^j(z), \quad A_{ij}(z) = \sum_{s=-M_+}^{\infty} A_{ij}^{(s)} z^s. \tag{2.19}$$

The leading coefficient is a matrix

$$A_{ij}^{(M_+)} = v_i^+ \delta_{j,\, i+M_+ (\,mod\ N_+)} \tag{2.20}$$

The change $z \to \varepsilon z$, where $\varepsilon^{N_+} = 1$, defines an automorphism of $Ł_+(z)$. That implies that coefficients of the characteristic polynomial

$$\det(w - A_{ij}(z)) = w^{N_+} + \sum_{i=0}^{N_+-1} a_i(E) w^i, \ E = z^{-N_+}, \tag{2.21}$$

are series in the variable $E = z^{-N_+}$. From that it follows that the eigenvalues are Laurent polynomials in the variable z (but not in fractional powers $z^{1/k}, k > 1$).

Let us assume that

$$v_i^+ \neq v_j^+, \ i \neq j, \tag{2.22}$$

where v_i^+ are defined in (2.7). In that case the matrix $A_{ij}(z)$ has a unique eigenvector $\Psi_n^{(i)}(z),\ i = 0, \ldots, r_+ - 1$, of the form (2.16)

$$\Psi_n^{(i)}(z) = z^{-n}\left(\delta_{i,\bar n} + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \xi_s(n) z^s\right). \tag{2.23}$$

The leading term of the expansion is an eigenvector of the matrix (2.20), corresponding to its eigenvalue v_i^+. Under the change $z \to \varepsilon^{n_+} z$, the leading term gets the factor ε^{-in_+}. Therefore, the vector $z^i \Psi_n^{(i)}(z)$ has an expansion in powers

$$z_0 = z^{r_+} = E^{1/n_+}. \tag{2.24}$$

That implies for $i > 0$ and $j = 0, \ldots, r_+ - 1$, the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{kr_+ + j}^{(i)}(z) &= z^{-i} z_0^{-k}(O(z_0)), \quad j < i\,, \\ \Psi_{kr_+ + j}^{(i)}(z) &= z^{-i} z_0^{-k}(O(1)), \quad j \geq i. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

From the irreducibility condition (2.10), in which without loss of generality we put $n_0 = 0$, it follows that for $i > 0$ the zero component of the eigenvector has the form $\Psi_0^{(i)} = O(z^{r-i})$. Therefore, the normalized eigenvectors

$$\psi_n^{(i)} = \frac{\Psi_n^{(i)}(z)}{\Psi_0^{(i)}(z)}, \quad \psi_0^{(i)} = 1, \tag{2.26}$$

for $i > 0$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{(i)}_{kr_+ + j}(z_0) &= O(z_0^{-k-1}), \quad i \le j\,, \\ \psi^{(i)}_{kr_+ + j}(z_0) &= O(z_0^{-k}), \quad j < i. \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

For $i = 0$ we have:

$$\psi^{(0)}_{kr_+ + j}(z_0) = z_0^{-k}(1 + O(z_0)). \tag{2.28}$$

Hence, in the case when the conditions (2.22) are satisfied, we have constructed a set of N_+ formal eigenvectors of the operator $A(E)$ in the neighborhood of the infinity $E = \infty$. Below, we construct an additional set of N_- formal eigenfunctions under the assumption

$$v_i^- \ne v_j^-\,,\ i \ne j, \tag{2.29}$$

where v_i^- are defined in (2.8).

Let us consider a linear space Ł$_-$ over the field of Laurent series k_- in the variable z_-, spanned by the solutions of the equation

$$L\Phi = z^{-N_-}\,\Phi \tag{2.30}$$

of the form

$$\Phi_n^-(z) = z_-^n \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \xi_s^-(n) z_-^s \right). \tag{2.31}$$

It is assumed that $\xi_0^-(n)$ is not equal to zero for at least one n.

As before, we obtain that the characteristic polynomial of the operator $A^-(z_-)$, induced by an action of A on Ł$_-$, has the form

$$\det(w - A^-(z_-)) = \prod_{i=0}^{r_-} \prod_{k=0}^{n_-} \left(w - \hat{v}_i^-(z_{k,-}) \right), \quad z_{k,-} = \varepsilon_1^k z_-^r, \tag{2.32}$$

where $\varepsilon_1^{n_-} = 1$, and the series $\hat{v}_i^- = \hat{v}_i^-(z_{0,-})$ has the form:

$$\hat{v}_i^-(z_{0,-}) = v_i^- z_{0,-}^{-m_-}(1 + O(z_{0,-}))\ . \tag{2.33}$$

The normalized eigenvector of $A^-(z_-)$, corresponding to the eigenvalue $w = \hat{v}_i^-(z_{0,-})$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, r-1$, has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{(i)}_{kr_- + j}(z_{0,-}) &= O(z_{0,-}^k), \quad i \ge j\,, \\ \psi^{(i)}_{kr_- + j}(z_{0,-}) &= O(z_{0,-}^{k+1}), \quad i < j. \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

In the direct sum of the spaces Ł$_+$ and Ł$_-$ it is possible to choose a basis c_n^i, normalized by the conditions

$$c_n^i = \delta_{i,n}, \quad i, n = N_-, \ldots, N_+ - 1\,. \tag{2.35}$$

In this basis the operator A has the same matrix entries as in the construction above of the affine spectral curve. Therefore, the characteristic equation (2.13) coincides with the product of the characteristic equations for $A(z)$ and $A^-(z_-)$, i.e.

$$\det(w - A(E)) = \left[\prod_{i=0}^{r_+}\prod_{k=0}^{n_+-1}(w - \hat{v}_i(z_k))\right]\left[\prod_{i=0}^{r_-}\prod_{k=0}^{n_--1}\left(w - \hat{v}_i^-(z_{k,-})\right)\right], \tag{2.36}$$

where the products in the first and the second sets of factors are taken over all roots of E^{-1} of degree n_+ and n_-, respectively:

$$E = z_k^{-n_+} = z_{k,-}^{-n_-} \tag{2.37}$$

The expansion (2.36) gives a complete information on compactification of the spectral curve when the operator $A(E)$ has N distinct eigenvalues for almost all E. It is the case of commuting operators of rank 1, which is considered in the next section.

2.3 Commuting operators of rank 1.

The conditions (2.22) are (2.29) imply that the eigenvalues of $A(E)$, corresponding to factors of the first and in the second products in the formula (2.36) are distinct. In addition, let us require that the factors in the two products do not coincide with each other. For that it is sufficient to assume one of the following conditions

$$(i)\ \ m_+n_- \neq m_-n_+, \quad (ii)\ \ v_i^+ \neq v_j^- . \tag{2.38}$$

In this case equation (2.36) implies that the affine spectral curve defined by equation (2.13), is compactified by $l = (r_+ + r_-)$ points $P_{i_\pm}^\pm$, $i_\pm = 0, \ldots, r_\pm - 1$. In the neighborhood of the infinity, and therefore for almost all E the spectral curve Γ has $N = N_+ + N_-$ sheets. Therefore, to every point of the spectral curve there correspond the unique up to multiplication common eigenfunction ψ_n of the operators L and A. Let us present the main theorem.

Theorem 2.1 *Let irreducible commuting operators satisfy the conditions (2.22, 2.29, 2.38). Then:*

(1) the spectral curve Γ, given by the characteristic equation (2.13) is compactified at the infinity by $l = (r_+ + r_-)$ points $P_{i_\pm}^\pm$, in the neighborhoods of which local coordinates are:

$$z_{k,\pm} = E^{-1/n_\pm};$$

(2) the common eigenfunction of the commuting operators

$$L\psi_n(Q) = E\psi_n(Q), \quad A\psi_n(Q) = w\psi_n(Q), \quad Q = (w, E) \in \Gamma,$$

normalized by the condition $\psi_0 = 1$ is a meromorphic function on Γ, with the divisor of poles $D = \{\gamma_s\}$ outside the punctures $P_i^\pm$ does not depend on n. In the neighborhoods *of the punctures* ψ_n *has the form (2.27,2.28,2.34), i.e. if* n *is represented in the form* $n = kr_+ + j_+ = k'r_- + j_-$, $0 \le j_\pm < r_\pm$, *then*

(2a) ψ_n *has the poles of order* k *at the punctures* $P_0^+, P_{j_++1}^+, \ldots, P_{r_+-1}^+$ *and the poles of order* $k+1$ *at the punctures* $P_1^+, \ldots, P_{j_+}^+$*;*

(2b) ψ_n *has zeros of order* k' *at the punctures* $P_{j_-}^-, \ldots, P_{r_--1}^-$ *and zeros of order* $k'+1$ *at the punctures* $P_0^-, \ldots, P_{j_--1}^-$

(3) in the general position, when the spectral curve is smooth and irreducible, the number of poles γ_s *(counting with their multiplicities) of* $\psi_n(Q)$ *outside the punctures is equal to the genus* g *of the curve* Γ*.*

The theorem defines a map which for a generic pair of commuting operators, satisfying the conditions of the theorem, assigns a smooth algebraic curve Γ with l punctures $P_{i_\pm}^\pm$ and a divisor of degree g:

$$[L, A] = 0 \longmapsto \{\Gamma, P_{i_\pm}^\pm, D = \{\gamma_s\}\}, \ 0 \le i_\pm < r_\pm, \ s = 1, \ldots, g. \tag{2.39}$$

Let us show that these algebro-geometric data define uniquely the commuting operators.

Let Γ be a smooth genus g algebraic curve with $l = (r_+ + r_-)$ punctures $P_{i_\pm}^\pm$. From the Riemann-Roch theorem it follows that for any non-special divisor $D = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_g)$ there is a function $\psi_n(Q)$ unique up to a factor, such that its divisor of the poles outside the punctures is not greater than D, and such that at the punctures $P_i^\pm$ it has poles and zeros of the orders defined in $(2a, 2b)$.

Indeed, the conditions $(2a, 2b)$ mean that $\psi_n(Q)$ belongs to a space of meromorphic functions Ł(D_n), associated with the divisor D_n

$$D_n = D + k \sum_{i_+=0}^{r_+-1} P_{i_+}^+ + \sum_{i=1}^{j_+} P_{i_+}^+ - k' \sum_{i_-=0}^{r_--1} P_{i_-}^- - \sum_{i_-=0}^{j_--1} P_{i_-}^-,$$

where $n = kr_+ + j_+ = k'r_- + j_-$. This divisor is of degree g, therefore, according to the Riemann-Roch theorem the space Ł(D_n) is one-dimensional.

Let us denote a ring of the meromorphic functions on Γ with poles at $P_{i_\pm}^\pm$ by $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, P_{i_\pm}^\pm)$.

Theorem 2.2 *Let* $\psi(Q) = \{\psi_n(Q)\}$ *be a sequence of functions, corresponding to algebro-geometric data (2.39). Then, for each function* $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma, P_i^\pm)$ *there is a unique difference operator* L_f *(with coefficients independent of* Q*), such that*

$$L_f \psi(Q) = f(Q)\psi(Q).$$

If the function $f(Q)$ *has poles of order* n_+ *and* n_- *at the punctures* $P_{i_\pm}^\pm$ *respectively, then the operator* L_f *has the form (2.2).*

The proof follows immediately from the Riemann-Roch theorem. If the function f has poles at $P_i^\pm$ of order $n_\pm$, then the function $f(Q)\psi_n(Q)$ belongs to the linear space

$$f(Q)\psi_n(Q) \in \text{Ł}\left(D_n + n_+ \sum_{i_+=0}^{r_+-1} P_{i_+}^+ + n_- \sum_{i_-=0}^{r_--1} P_{i_-}^-\right).$$

This space is of the dimension $N_+ + N_- + 1$. From the definition of ψ_n it follows, that the functions ψ_{n+i}, $-rn_- \leq i \leq rn_+$, are the basis of this space. Coefficients $u_i(n)$ of the operator L_f are just coefficients of expansion of $f\psi_n$ in the basis of the functions ψ_{n+i}.

The function $\psi_n(Q)$ can be written explicitly in terms of the Riemann theta-functions. For simplicity we present the corresponding formulae for the case $r = r_+ = r_-$. Let a_i^0, b_i^0 be a basis of cycles on Γ with canonical matrix of intersections $a_i^0 \cdot a_j^0 = b_i^0 \cdot b_j^0 = 0,\ a_i^0 \cdot b_j^0 = \delta_{ij}$. In a standard way it defines the basis of normalized holomorphic differentials $\omega_j(P)$. The matrix B of their b-periods defines the Jacobian variety $J(\Gamma)$ and the Riemann theta-function $\theta(z) = \theta(z|B)$. Let us introduce the functions $h_j,\ j = 0, \ldots, r-1$,

$$h_j(Q) = \frac{f_j(Q)}{f_j(P_j^+)}; \quad f_j(Q) = \frac{\theta(A(Q) + Z_j)}{\theta(A(Q) + Z_0)} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \theta(A(Q) + S_i^-)}{\prod_{i=1}^{j} \theta(A(Q) + S_i^+)}, \tag{2.40}$$

where

$$S_i^\pm = \mathcal{K} - A(P_i^\pm) - \sum_{s=1}^{g-1} A(\gamma_s), \quad i = 0, \ldots, r-1. \tag{2.41}$$

$$Z_j = Z_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} A(P_i^-) - \sum_{i=1}^{j} A(P_i^+) \quad Z_0 = \mathcal{K} - \sum_{s=1}^{g} A(\gamma_s), \tag{2.42}$$

and $A(Q)$ is a vector with the coordinates $A_k(Q) = \int_{q_0}^Q \omega_k$. Let $d\Omega^{(0)}$ be a unique meromorphic differential on Γ, with simple poles at $P_j^\pm$ with the residues ∓ 1, and normalized by the conditions

$$\oint_{a_k^0} d\Omega^{(0)} = 0. \tag{2.43}$$

The coordinates of the vector of its b_0-periods $U^{(0)}$ equal

$$U_k^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{b_k^0} d\Omega^{(0)} = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \Big(A(P_j^-) - A(P_j^+) \Big) \tag{2.44}$$

Lemma 2.2 *The function $\psi_n(Q)$ equals*

$$\psi_{rk+j} = h_j(Q) \frac{\theta\left(A(Q) + kU^{(0)} + Z_j\right) \theta\left(A(P_j^+) + Z_j\right)}{\theta\left(A(Q) + Z_j\right) \theta\left(A(P_j^+) + kU^{(0)} + Z_j\right)} \exp\left(k \int^Q d\Omega^0\right) \tag{2.45}$$

For the proof of (2.45) it is enough to show that a function defined by the formula is single-valued on Γ and has all the required analytical properties.

Corollary 2.1 *The coefficients of commuting rank 1 generic operators are quasi-periodic meromorphic functions of the variable n.*

2.4 Rank > 1. The case of separated infinities.

From the construction of formal common eigenfunctions of the operators L and A it follows, that for almost all values of E the operator $A(E)$ is diagonalizable. We call a set of the multiplicities $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k)$ of the eigenvalues of $A(E)$ vector rank of the commuting operators. Note, that rank of commuting differential operators with scalar coefficients is always scalar ($k = 1$), and is a common divisor of orders of the operators [2]. The notion of vector rank in the classification problem of commuting differential operators with matrix coefficients was introduced in в [29]. For commuting operators of a vector rank μ the characteristic equation has the form

$$\det(w - A(E)) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} R_i^{\mu_i}(w, E). \tag{2.46}$$

We would like to emphasize that conditions (2.22, 2.29), which are sufficient for simplicity of the eigenvalues of the operators $A(z), A^-(z_-)$ in the formal neighborhood of the infinity are incompatible with auxiliary linear problems for the $2D$ Toda lattice equations. Indeed, from the equations

$$[L, \text{Ł}_i] = [A, \text{Ł}_i] = 0, \tag{2.47}$$

where L, A и Ł_i have the form (1.6) и (1.7) it follows that

$$u_{N_+}(n+1) = u_{N_+}(n),\ \ u_{N_-}(n)c(n - N_-) = u_{N_+}(n-1)c(n),$$
$$v_{M_+}(n+1) = v_{M_+}(n),\ \ v_{M_-}(n)c(n - N_-) = v_{M_+}(n-1)c(n).$$

The later equations imply that $v_i^{\pm}$, given by (2.7, 2.8), satisfy the equations

$$v_i^+ = v^+, \quad v_i^- = v^-, \tag{2.48}$$

In that case, *a priori* there are no obstructions for the existence of multiple eigenvalues for the operator $A(z)$ or for the operator $A^-(z_-)$.

Commuting operators such that the set of eigenvalues of $A(z)$ does not intersect with the set of eigenvalues of $A^-(z_-)$ are called operators with *separated infinities.* Commuting operators have separated infinities if at least one of the conditions (2.38), i.e. one of the conditions (i) $m_+n_- \neq m_-n_+$, (ii) $v^+ \neq v^-$, is satisfied.

The set of multiplicities $\mu_{i_\pm}^{\pm}$ of *distinct* eigenvalues of the operators $A(z), A^-(z_-)$ is called *type* of the pair of commuting operators with separated infinities,

$$\sum_{i_\pm \in I_\pm} \mu_{i_\pm}^{\pm} = r_\pm. \tag{2.49}$$

Here $I_\pm$ are finite sets, which parameterize distinct eigenvalues of the operators $A(z)$ and $A^-(z_-)$. Distinct eigenvalues of these operators correspond to distinct "infinity" points on the components Γ_i of the affine spectral curve, defined by the equations $R_i(w, E) = 0$. A simple counting of degree of the divisor of common eigenfunctions (see details below in the proof of the first statement of Theorem 2.3) shows that there is no component Γ_i, which is compactified by the points corresponding to eigenvalues of only one of the operators $A(z)$

or $A^-(z_-)$. The multilicity of an eigenvalue of $A(E)$ is constant on each of the components Γ_i. Therefore,

(i) for each index $i_\pm$ there is at least one index $j_\mp$, such that $\mu^\pm_{i_\pm} = \mu^\mp_{j_\mp}$.

As it seems to the authors, there are no more constraints on the types of commuting operators with separated infinities, i.e. for any set of positive integers $\mu^\pm_{i_\pm}$, satisfying equation (2.49) and the constraint (i), there is a pair of commuting operators of the form (2.2) with separated infinities which has this set as its type.

Below, we prove the statement for the types of the form (r, r). This is the type of commuting operators of the form (2.2) with separated infinities, which have equal common divisors of positive and negative orders, $(r = r_+ = r_-)$, having the maximum possible scalar rank $\mu = r$.

Lemma 2.3 *Let a pair of irreducible commuting operators of the form (2.2), where $(r = r_+ = r_-)$, have rank r. Then there is a unique Laurent series*

$$v^+(x) = v^+x^{-m_+} + O(x^{-m_+ +1})) \tag{2.50}$$

such that the equations

$$L\Psi(z) = z^{-rn_+}\Psi(z), \quad A\Psi(z) = v(z^r)\Psi(z) \tag{2.51}$$

have a solution $\Psi(z)$ of the form

$$\Psi_n(z) = z^{-n}\left(1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\xi_s(n)z^s\right). \tag{2.52}$$

The space of solutions of equations (2.51) in the space $\L(z)$ of Laurent series is spanned by the series $\Psi(\epsilon^k z), \epsilon^r = 1$.

Almost identical statement holds for eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the restriction of the operator A on the space $\L(z_-)$.

Let us keep the same notation Γ for the curve defined by the equation $R(w, E) = 0$, where $R(w, E)$ is a root of degree r from the characteristic polynomial

$$\det(w - A(E)) = R^r(w, E).$$

From Lemma 2.3 and its analog for the operator $A^-(z_-)$ it follows, that at the infinity we have

$$R(w, E) = \left[\prod_{k=0}^{n_+-1}\left(w - v^+(z_k)\right)\right]\left[\prod_{k=0}^{n_--1}\left(w - v^-(z_{k,-})\right)\right], \tag{2.53}$$

where the products are taken over all the roots of E^{-1} of the orders n_+ и and n_-, respectively.

To every point of Γ there corresponds r-dimensional subspace of common eigenvectors of the operators L and A. Let us fix a basis $\psi^i(Q)$ in this subspace by the conditions

$$\psi^i_n(Q) = \delta_{in}, \quad i, n = 0, \ldots, r-1. \tag{2.54}$$

For all n the functions $\psi_n^i(Q)$ are meromorphic functions. Their form in the neighborhood of the infinity can be found with the help of basic series $\Psi^{\pm}(\epsilon^k z_{\pm})$. The same as in the continuous case [2], singularities of the vector-function $\psi_n(Q) = \{\psi_n^i(Q)\}$ in the affine part of the spectral curve are described by matrix divisors.

In the general position, when the poles of ψ_n are simple, the corresponding matrix divisor $D = \{\gamma_s, \alpha_s\}$ is just a set of distinct points γ_s, and a set of r-dimensional vectors $\alpha_s = \{\alpha_s^i\}$, defined up to a factor $\alpha_s \to \lambda\alpha_s$. The points γ_s are poles of ψ_n^i, and the parameters α_s define relations between residues

$$\alpha_s^i \mathrm{res}_{\gamma_s} \psi_n^j(Q) = \alpha_s^j \mathrm{res}_{\gamma_s} \psi_n^i(Q). \tag{2.55}$$

In [1, 2] the sets (γ, α), were called *Tyurin parameters.* According to [26], in a generic case they uniquely define a framed stable vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over Γ of rank r and degree $c_1(\det \mathcal{E}) = rg$.

Theorem 2.3 *Let a pair of irreducible commuting operators with separated infinities satisfies the conditions (2.38), and has the rank $r = r_+ = r_-$. Then:*

(1) the spectral curve Γ, defined by the characteristic equation (2.53) is irreducible. It is compactified at the infinity by two points $P^{\pm}$, in the neighborhoods of which local coordinates are $z_{\pm} = E^{-1/n_{\pm}}$;

(2) the vector-function $\psi(Q)$, whose coordinates are common eigenfunctions

$$L\psi_n^i(Q) = E\psi_n^i(Q), \quad A\psi_n^i(Q) = w\psi_n^i(Q), \quad Q = (w, E) \in \Gamma,$$

normalized by the conditions (2.54), is meromorphic on Γ; its matrix divisor outside the punctures $P_i^{\pm}$ does not depend on n. In the general position, when the spectral curve is smooth, the divisor of poles $D = \{\gamma_s, \alpha_s\}$ is of degree gr, where g is the genus of Γ.

(3a) in the neighborhood of P^+ the function $\psi_{kr+j}^i(Q)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{kr+j}^i &= O(z_+^{-k}), \quad i < j, \\ \psi_{kr+i}^i &= z_+^{-k}(1 + O(z_+)), \\ \psi_{kr+j}^i &= O(z_+^{-k+1}), \quad i > j. \end{aligned} \tag{2.56}$$

(3b) in the neighborhood of P^- the function $\psi_{kr+j}^i(Q)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{kr+j}^i &= O(z_-^{k+1}), \quad i < j, \\ \psi_{kr+j}^i &= O(z_-^{k}), \quad i \geq j. \end{aligned} \tag{2.57}$$

The inverse statement holds. For each generic set of points γ_s and vectors $\alpha_s = (\alpha_s^i)$, $s = 1, \ldots, gr$, $i = 0, \ldots, r-1$ there is a unique set of functions $\psi_n^i(Q)$ such that:

(i) $\psi_n^i(Q)$ outside the punctures $P^{\pm}$ has at most simple poles at γ_s; their residues at these points satisfy relations (2.55);

(ii) $\psi_n^i(Q)$ at the neighborhoods of the punctures $P^{\pm}$ has the form (2.56,2.57).

Theorem 2.4 *Let $\psi^i(Q) = \{\psi^i_n(Q)\}$ be the functions, defined above by the data $\{\Gamma, P^\pm, D = \{\gamma_s, \alpha_s\}\}$. Then for each function $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma, P^\pm)$ there is a unique difference operator L_f (with coefficients independent on Q) such, that*

$$L_f \psi^i(Q) = f(Q)\psi^i(Q).$$

If the function $f(Q)$ has poles of orders n_+ u n_- at the punctures $P_i^\pm$ then the operator L_f has the form (2.2), where $r = r_+ = r_-$.

Example. $r = 2, g = 1$**.** Without loss of generality we may assume that two punctures on the elliptic curve with the periods $(2\omega, 2\omega')$ are points $\pm z_0$. Let us choose the vectors α_1, α_2 in the form $\alpha_s = (a_s, 1)$.

From (2.56,2.57) it follows that ψ^1_{2n} can be represented in the form

$$\psi^1_{2n}(z) = A_n \frac{\sigma(z - z_0)\sigma(z - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - (2n-1)z_0)}{\sigma(z - \gamma_1)\sigma(z - \gamma_2)} \left[\frac{\sigma(z + z_0)}{\sigma(z - z_0)}\right]^n, \tag{2.58}$$

where $\sigma(z) = \sigma(z; 2\omega, 2\omega')$ is the Weierstrass sigma-function. Similar expression for ψ^0_{2n} has the form

$$\psi^0_{2n}(z) = \left(B_n \frac{\sigma(z - \gamma_1 - 2nz_0)}{\sigma(z - \gamma_1)} + C_n \frac{\sigma(z - \gamma_2 - 2nz_0)}{\sigma(z - \gamma_2)}\right) \left[\frac{\sigma(z + z_0)}{\sigma(z - z_0)}\right]^n, \tag{2.59}$$

Conditions (2.55) allow us to express the parameters B_n, C_n in terms of A_n

$$B_n = a_1 A_n \; \frac{\sigma(\gamma_2 + (2n-1)z_0)\sigma(\gamma_1 - z_0)}{\sigma(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)\sigma(2nz_0)} \tag{2.60}$$

$$C_n = a_2 A_n \; \frac{\sigma(\gamma_1 + (2n-1)z_0)\sigma(\gamma_2 - z_0)}{\sigma(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)\sigma(2nz_0)} \tag{2.61}$$

In the neighborhood of z_0 the function ψ^0_{2n} has the form $(z - z_0)^{-n}$. That implies an explicit formula for A_n

$$A_n = \frac{\sigma(2nz_0)\sigma(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)}{(a_1 - a_2)\sigma^n(2z_0)\sigma((2n-1)z_0 + \gamma_2)\sigma(2n-1)z_0 + \gamma_1)}. \tag{2.62}$$

In analogous way we find

$$\psi^0_{2n+1}(z) = A'_n \frac{\sigma(z + z_0)\sigma(z - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - (2n+1)z_0)}{\sigma(z - \gamma_1)\sigma(z - \gamma_2)} \left[\frac{\sigma(z + z_0)}{\sigma(z - z_0)}\right]^n, \tag{2.63}$$

$$\psi^1_{2n+1}(z) = \left(B'_n \frac{\sigma(z - \gamma_1 - 2nz_0)}{\sigma(z - \gamma_1)} + C'_n \frac{\sigma(z - \gamma_2 - 2nz_0)}{\sigma(z - \gamma_2)}\right) \left[\frac{\sigma(z + z_0)}{\sigma(z - z_0)}\right]^n, \tag{2.64}$$

where

$$B'_n = a_1^{-1} A'_n \; \frac{\sigma(\gamma_2 + (2n+1)z_0)\sigma(\gamma_1 + z_0)}{\sigma(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)\sigma(2nz_0)} \tag{2.65}$$

$$C'_n = a_2^{-1} A'_n \, \frac{\sigma(\gamma_1 + (2n+1)z_0)\sigma(\gamma_2 + z_0)}{\sigma(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)\sigma(2nz_0)} \tag{2.66}$$

$$A'_n = \frac{\sigma(2nz_0)\sigma(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)}{\sigma^n(2z_0)} (I'_n - I''_n)^{-1}, \tag{2.67}$$

$$I'_n = \frac{\sigma(\gamma_2 + (2n+1)z_0)\sigma(\gamma_1 + (2n-1)z_0)\sigma(\gamma_1 + z_0)}{a_1\sigma(z_0 - \gamma_1)}, \tag{2.68}$$

$$I''_n = \frac{\sigma(\gamma_1 + (2n+1)z_0)\sigma(\gamma_2 + (2n-1)z_0)\sigma(\gamma_2 + z_0)}{a_2\sigma(z_0 - \gamma_2)}. \tag{2.69}$$

Similar expressions in terms of the Riemann theta-functions can be found in general case.

Corollary 2.2 *Coefficients of the operators L_f, defined by the previous theorem, are quasi-periodic functions of the variable n.*

Remark. Note that the functions $\psi_{2n}^{(i)}$ and $\psi_{2n+1}^{(i)}$ in the continuous limit

$$z_0 \to 0, \quad n \to \infty, \quad nz_0 \to x,$$

converge to different functions of the continuous variable x. Probably, that explains why in the classification problem of commuting differential operators there are no constructions without functional parameters.

2.5 Rank >1. Glued infinities.

Now let us consider commuting operators of the form (2.2) having maximum possible rank $l = r_+ + r_-$. In this case the formal eigenvalues of the operator A on two infinities Ł$_\pm$ coincide. An eigenvalue of the operator $A(z)$ may coincide with an eigenvalue of the operator $A^-(z_-)$ only when $m_+ = m_- = m$, $n_+ = n_- = n$, i.e. the case of full or partial glued infinities may occur only in the classification problem of commuting operators of the form:

$$L = \sum_{i=-Nr_-}^{Nr_+} u_i(n)T^i, \quad A = \sum_{i=-Mr_-}^{Mr_+} v_i(n)T^i, \quad (N, M) = 1, \tag{2.70}$$

We call *a type* of commuting pair of operators a set $(\mu_i^+ | \, \mu_i^-)$, where the index i is in a set I, parameterizing all the distinct eigenvalues of $A(z)$ и $A^-(z_-)$, and $\mu_i^\pm$ are multiplicities of the corresponding eigenvalues. Note, that the type of operators with separated infinities can be identified with the particular case of the later definition in which I is a union of sets $I_\pm$, which parameterize indices $i_\pm$ in (2.49), and all the pairs have either the form $(\mu_i^+ | \, 0)$ or $(0 | \, \mu_j^-)$.

We conjecture that there are no restrictions on the possible types, when the numbers $\mu_i^\pm > 0$ are both non-zero. If the type contains a pair of the form $(\mu | \, 0)$ then, as before, it should contain also the pair of the form $(0 | \, \mu)$. Complete solution of the classification problem of commuting difference operator requires a construction of commuting operators of all the possible types. We leave the problem open, and consider below a construction of commuting operators of the type that contains only one pair $(r_+|\,r_-)$. As it was mentioned above, that is the case of commuting operators of the maximum possible rank $l = r_+ + r_-$.

Direct spectral problem. From the construction of the formal eigenfunctions at the infinity it follows, that the necessary conditions for the case of the maximum rank are equations $v_i^+ = v_j^- = v$, i.e. the operators have the from (2.70), and their leading coefficients in the gauge $u_{Nr_+} = 1$ have the from

$$u_{-Nr_-} = h^{-1}(n - Nr_-)h(n), \quad v_{Mr_+} = v, \quad v_{-Mr_-} = vh^{-1}(n - Mr_-)h(n). \tag{2.71}$$

As above, we keep the same notation Γ for the curve defined by the equation $R(w, E) = 0$, where $R(w, E)$ is a root of degree l from the characteristic polynomial

$$\det(w - A(E)) = R^l(w, E).$$

At the infinity we have the expansion

$$R(w, E) = \left[\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} (w - v(z_k))\right], \tag{2.72}$$

where the product is taken over all the roots of E^{-1} of degree N. Hence, in the case of the maximum rank the spectral curve is compactified at the infinity by *one* smooth point, and as a corollary, it is irreducible.

To every point of Γ there corresponds l-dimensional space of common eigenfunctions of the operators L and A. Let us fix the basis $\psi^i(Q)$ of this space by the conditions

$$\psi_n^i(Q) = \delta_{in}, \quad -r_- \le i, n < r_+. \tag{2.73}$$

Note, that the choice of the interval for the parameters $i, n,$ used for the normalization is crucial for closed description of analytical properties of the basis functions at the infinity.

Theorem 2.5 *For a generic pair of commuting rank l operators, the common eigenfunctions ψ_n^i, normalized by (2.73), satisfy the following conditions:*

1^0. *On the affine part of the spectral curve Γ the functions ψ_n^i have gl poles γ_s independent of n, at which the equations*

$$\alpha_s^j \,\mathrm{res}_{\gamma_s} \psi_n^i(Q) = \alpha_s^i \,\mathrm{res}_{\gamma_s} \psi_n^j(Q). \tag{2.74}$$

hold.

2^0. *In the neighborhood of the "infinity" point P_0 the vector-row $\psi_n = \{\psi_n^i\}$ has the form*

$$\psi_n = \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \xi_s(n) z^s\right) \Psi_0(n, z), \qquad z^{-n} = E. \tag{2.75}$$

Here $\xi_s(n) = \{\xi_s^i(n)\}$ are vector-rows,

$$\xi_0^i = \delta_0^i\,; \tag{2.76}$$

$\Psi_0(n,z)$ is the Wronsky matrix $\Psi_0^{j\,i}(n,z) = \phi^i_{n+j}(z)$, $-r_- \leq i,j < r_+$, defined by the basis ϕ^i of the solutions of the equation

$$\phi_{n+r_+} + \sum_{i=-r_-}^{r_+-1} f_i^0(n)\phi_{n+i} = z^{-1}\phi_n, \tag{2.77}$$

whose coefficients $f_i^0(n)$ are polynomial functions of the coefficients of the operator L. The solutions ϕ^i are normalized by the equation

$$\Psi_0(0,z) = 1. \tag{2.78}$$

Proof. Let $\Psi(n,Q)$, $Q \in \Gamma$, be the Wronsky matrix $\Psi^{j\,i}(n,Q) = \psi^i_{n+j}(Q)$, $-r_- \leq i,j < r_+$. In the neighborhood of P_0, where $z = E^{-1/n}$ is a local coordinate, it can be considered as a function of the variable z, i.e. $\Psi(n,z)$. Let us define polynomials ϕ^i_n of the variable z^{-1}, by fixing their asymptotic behavior as $z \to 0$.

Lemma 2.4 *In a generic case there are unique functions $\phi^i_n(z)$, that are holomorphic on the extended complex z-plane everywhere except at $z = 0$, and such that in the neighborhood of $z = 0$ the vector-row $\phi_n(z) = (\phi^i_n(z))$ has the form*

$$\phi_n(z) = r_n(z)\Psi(n,z), \tag{2.79}$$

where $r_n(z)$ is a vector-row holomorphic in the neighborhood of $z = 0$, and such, that its evaluation at $z = 0$ equals

$$r^i_n(0) = \delta^i_0. \tag{2.80}$$

The problem of construction of $\phi_n(z)$ is a standard Riemann problem. Let us choose a small neighborhood of $z = 0$. Then we define vector-functions ϕ_n и r_n as holomorphic outside and inside the neighborhood, respectively, and such that on the boundary of the neighborhood the equation (2.79) holds. If the change of the argument of the determinant of $\Psi(n,z)$ along the contour equals zero, then the Riemann has a unique solution if we fix a value of the vector-function at some point. Therefore, for the proof of the Lemma it is enough to show that the determinant of $\Psi(n,z)$ is holomorphic in the neighborhood of $z = 0$ and in general does not vanish at $z = 0$. The later statement follows from:

Lemma 2.5 *In the neighborhood of the infinity the matrix function*

$$\mathcal{X}(n,Q) = \Psi(n+1,Q)\Psi^{-1}(n,Q) \tag{2.81}$$

has the form

$$\mathcal{X}(n,z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \chi_{-r_-}(n,z) & \chi_{-r_-+1}(n,z) & \chi_{r_-+2}(n,z) & \cdots & \chi_{r_+-1}(n,z) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.82}$$

$$\chi_i(n,z) = z^{-1}\delta_{i,0} - f_i(n,z), \tag{2.83}$$

where $f_i(n,z)$ are regular series of the variable z.

Proof. The matrix $\mathcal{X}(n,Q)$ does not depend on the choice of the basis functions ψ_n^i. Therefore, the asymptotic behavior of $\mathcal{X}(0,Q)$ in the neighborhood of the infinity, can be found with the help of the formal solutions defined in the subsection 2.2. Equations (2.27,2.34) imply that the Wronsky matrix $\Psi_\infty(0,z)$, defined by the formal solutions, has the following block form

$$\Psi_\infty(0,z) = \begin{pmatrix} z^{-1}A(z) & B(z) \\ C(z) & D(z) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.84}$$

where $A(z), D(z)$ are matrices of dimensions $(r_- \times r_-)$ and $(r_+ \times r_+)$, respectively. All the matrices A, B, C, D are regular series in the variable z. The leading term of $D(z)$ is a lower triangular matrix with 1 on the diagonal. The leading term of the series $A(z)$ is an upper triangular matrix. Hence, the inverse matrix has the form

$$\Psi_\infty^{-1}(0,z) = \begin{pmatrix} zA_1(z) & zB_1(z) \\ zC_1(z) & D_1(z) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.85}$$

The leading terms of the regular series A_1, B_1, C_1, D_1 equal

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(0) = {} & A^{-1}(0), \ B_1(0) = {} & -A^{-1}(0)B(0)D^{-1}(0), \\ D_1(0) = {} & D^{-1}(0), \ C_1(0) = {} & -D^{-1}(0)C(0)A^{-1}(0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.86}$$

The series $\psi_{r_+}^i$ have the form $z^{-1}\delta_0^i + f^i(z)$, where f^i are regular. Therefore, the last row of the matrix $\mathcal{X}(0)$, which is equal to $\psi_r \Psi_\infty^{-1}(0)$, has the form

$$\mathcal{X}^{r_+-1,\,i}(0) = z^{-1}\delta_0^i + f^i(z). \tag{2.87}$$

In the construction of the formal solutions index n can be replaced by $n - n_0$. That shift does not change $\mathcal{X}(n)$. Therefore, the last row of the matrix $\mathcal{X}(n_0)$ has the same structure as for $\mathcal{X}(0)$, and the Lemma is proven.

Normalization (2.73) is equivalent to the equation $\Psi(0,z) \equiv 1$. From (2.82) it follows that

$$\det \Psi(n,z) = \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} \det \mathcal{X}(n,z) = (-1)^n \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} f_{-r_-}(n,z).$$

Hence the determinant is holomorphic in the neighborhood of $z = 0$, and in general does not vanish at $z = 0$. That completes the proof of the Lemma 2.4.

Note, that by their construction the functions ϕ_n^i are entire functions of the variable z^{-1}. The matrix $\Psi(n,z)$ is meromorphic in the neighborhood of $z = 0$. Hence, ϕ_n^i at $z = 0$ has the pole of finite order and, therefore is a polynomial function of z^{-1}.

Let $\Psi_0(n,z)$ be the Wronsky matrix of the functions $\phi_n^i(z)$, i.e. $\Psi^{j,i}(n,z) = \phi_{n+j}^i(z)$.

Lemma 2.6 *In the neighborhood of* $z = 0$ *the matrix function* Ψ_0 *has the form*

$$\Psi_0(n,z) = R(n,z)\Psi(n,z), \tag{2.88}$$

where $R(n,z)$ *is a matrix function holomorphic at* $z = 0$*, and such that* $R(n,0)$ *has the block form*

$$R(n,0) = \begin{pmatrix} R_- & 0 \\ 0 & R_+ \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.89}$$

where R_+ is a lower-triangular, and R_- is an upper triangular $(r_\pm \times r_\pm)$ matrices with 1 on the diagonals.

Proof. From the definition of ϕ_n it follows that j-th row R_j of the matrix R for $j > 0$ equals

$$R_j(n, z) = r_{n+j}(n, z) \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \mathcal{X}(n + i, z) \tag{2.90}$$

Equations (2.80, 2.83) imply that R_j is regular. Moreover, coordinates $R_j^i(n, 0)$ of the vector $R_j(n, 0)$ might be different from zero only for $0 \leq i \leq j$. At the same time $R_j^j(n, 0) = 1$. That proves that R_+ is an upper triangular matrix with 1 on the diagonal. The analogous statement for R_- can be proven by similar arguments if one replaces $\mathcal{X}$ by the inverse matrix $\mathcal{X}^{-1}$ which has the form

$$\mathcal{X}^{-1} = \chi_{-r_-}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{-r_-+1} & \chi_{-r_-+2} & \chi_{-r+3} & \cdots & \chi_{r_+-1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.91}$$

By the definition $\Psi(0, z) = 1$. Entries of Ψ_0 are polynomials in the variable z^{-1}. Therefore, (2.88) implies that $\Psi_0(0, z)$ is a constant matrix, equal to $R(0, 0)$. By induction in j, it is easy to show that $\Psi_0(0, z)$ satisfies the normalization (2.78), i.e. $\Psi_0(0, z) = 1$.

Let us prove that the functions ϕ_n^i satisfy an equation of the form (2.77). Consider the matix

$$\Psi_0(n + 1, z)\Psi_0^{-1}(n, z) = \mathcal{X}_0(n, z) = R(n + 1, z)\mathcal{X}(n, z)R(n, z)^{-1}\,. \tag{2.92}$$

From (2.83) and the structure of $R(n, 0)$, it follows that the only entry of the last row in $\mathcal{X}_0$, having pole at $z = 0$ is $\mathcal{X}_0^{r_+-1,0}$. A coefficient at the singular term z^{-1} of its expansion equals 1. The matrix $\mathcal{X}_0$ is regular for all $z \neq 0$. Therefore, it is a polynomial function of z^{-1}. Hence the last row of $\mathcal{X}_0$ has the desired form.

In order to complete the proof of the second statement of the Theorem it is enough to invert equation (2.88). We have

$$\Psi = R^{-1}\Psi_0. \tag{2.93}$$

This equation for the row with the index $j = 0$ gives (2.75), where the first factor is the Tailor expansion of the corresponding row of the matrix $R^{-1}(n, z)$.

Using the asymptotic of ψ_n at the infinity one can find the degree of the matrix divisor of its poles using arguments identical to that in the continuous case [2].

The Theorem 2.3. assigns for the generic pair of commuting operator of the form (2.70), having rank $l = (r_+ + r_-)$: a smooth algebraic curve Γ with a puncture P_0; a set of Tyurin parameters and a set of $l - 1$ functions $f_i^0(n)$ of the discrete variable n

$$L, A \longmapsto \{\Gamma, (\gamma, \alpha), f_i^0(n)\}. \tag{2.94}$$

Inverse spectral problem. Let Γ be a smooth algebraic curve with fixed local coordinate z at the neighborhood of puncture P_0. Let us fix a set of function $f_i^0(n)$, $r_- \leq i < r_+$. They define the Wronsky matrix Ψ_0, $\Psi_0^{j,i} = \phi_{n+j}^i$, $r_- \leq j < r_+$, corresponding to the solutions of the degree $l = r_+ + r_-$ difference equation

$$\sum_{i=r_-}^{r_+} f_i^0(n)\phi_{n+i} = z^{-1}\phi_n, \quad f_{r_+}^0 = 1, \tag{2.95}$$

normalized by the conditions

$$\phi_n^i = \delta_0^i, \quad r_- \leq i < r_+. \tag{2.96}$$

Theorem 2.6 *([21]) For a generic set of Tyurin parameters of degree lg and rank l, i.e. for a generic set of lg points γ_s and a set of projective l-dimensional vectors $\alpha_s = (\alpha_s^i)$, $r_- \leq i \leq r_+$ there is a unique vector-function $\psi_n(Q)$ with coordinates, which outside the puncture P_0 have at most simple poles at γ_s. Their residues satisfy relations (2.74). In the neighborhood of P_0 the vector-row ψ_n has the form*

$$\psi_n = \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \xi_s(n) z^s\right) \Psi_0(n,z), \ \ \xi_0^i = \delta_0^i\,. \tag{2.97}$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma, P_0)$ be a meromorphic function on Γ with the only pole at P_0 of order N. Then, there is a unique operator L_f of the form

$$L_f = \sum_{i=-Nr_-}^{Nr_+} u_i(n) T^i, \quad u_{Nr_+} = 1, \tag{2.98}$$

such that

$$L_f \psi(Q) = f(Q)\psi(Q)\,. \tag{2.99}$$

A proof of the theorem is standard. Equation (2.97) means that ψ is a solution of the Riemann problem on Γ, in which Ψ_0 is the transition function in the neighborhood of the puncture. In the general position the existence and uniqueness of the solution of this problem follows from the results of [27, 28], or simply from the Riemann-Roch theorem for vector bundles (see details in [2]). The same results imply the second statement of the theorem.

Theorem 2.7 *A commutative ring $\mathcal{A}$ of operators of the form (2.98) of maximum rank l is isomorphic to the ring $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, P_0)$ of meromorphic functions on an algebraic curve Γ with the only pole at the puncture P_0. In the general case the isomorphism $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, P_0) = \mathcal{A}$ is defined by equation (2.99), where the Baker-Akhiezer function corresponds to a set of the Tyurin parameters (γ, α).*

2.6 Discrete dynamics of the Tyrin parameters

In this section discrete equations for the Tyurin parameters corresponding to commuting operators of maximum rank l are derived. According to Theorem 2.6, a smooth algebraic curve Γ with a puncture P_0, a generic set of the Tyurin parameters (γ, α) and coefficients $f_i^0(n)$ of difference equation (2.95) define the vector-function $\psi_n(Q)$. The corresponding Wronsky matrix is denoted by $\Psi(n, Q)$. The matrix function $\mathcal{X}(n, Q)$, given by (2.81), has the asymptotic (2.82, 2.83). Let

$$f_i(n) = f_i(n, 0) \tag{2.100}$$

be the evaluations of the regular series $f_i(n, z)$ in (2.83) at the puncture $z = 0$. These functions of the discrete variable n can be expressed in terms of the initial parameters $f_i^0(n)$, and the first coefficients $\xi_1(n)$ of expansion (2.97) for ψ_n. The corresponding formulae are far from being effective, because the coefficients $\xi_1(n)$ depend on the initial data $(\gamma_s,\ \alpha_s,\ f_i^0(n)$ through the Riemann problem. At the same time, as it will be shown below, there is no need for explicit formulae for $f_i(n)$. They can be chosen as a part of independent parameters defining commuting operators.

For $n \neq 0$ let us denote zeros of $\det \Psi(n, Q)$ by $\gamma_s(n)$. In general position, when they are simple their number equals gl. Let $\alpha_s(n)$ be the corresponding left zero-vector

$$\alpha_s(n)\Psi(n, \gamma_s(n)) = 0\,. \tag{2.101}$$

For $n = 0$, we set

$$\gamma_s(0) = \gamma_s, \quad \alpha_s(0) = \alpha_s. \tag{2.102}$$

From the definition (2.81) it follows:

Lemma 2.7 *The matrix function $\mathcal{X}(n, Q)$ has simple poles at the points $\gamma_s(n)$ and satisfies the relations*

$$\alpha_s^j(n)\ \mathrm{res}_{\gamma_s(n)}\mathcal{X}^{m,i}(n, Q) = \alpha_s^i(n)\ \mathrm{res}_{\gamma_s(n)}\mathcal{X}^{m,j}(n, Q). \tag{2.103}$$

The points $\gamma_s(n+1)$ are zeros of $\mathcal{X}(n, Q)$,

$$\det \mathcal{X}(n, \gamma_s(n+1)) = 0. \tag{2.104}$$

The vector $\alpha_s(n+1)$ is the left eigenvector of the matrix $\mathcal{X}(n, \gamma_s(n+1))$,

$$\alpha_s(n+1)\mathcal{X}(n, \gamma_s(n+1)) = 0. \tag{2.105}$$

Simple dimension counting implies the following statement.

Lemma 2.8 *Let Γ be a smooth algebraic curve with fixed local coordinate c $z(Q)$ in the neighborhood of a puncture P_0. Then for a generic set of data $(\gamma_s(n),\ \alpha_s(n),\ f_i(n))$ there is a unique meromorphic matrix function $\mathcal{X}(n, Q)$, $Q \in \Gamma$, with at most simple poles at the pointsP_0, γ_s, and such that:*

(i) the Laurent expansion of $\mathcal{X}(n, Q)$ at P_0 has the form (2.82,2.83), where the regular series $f_i(n, z)$ satisfy (2.100);

(ii) the residues of $\mathcal{X}(n, Q)$ at γ_s satisfy the relations (2.103).

Equations (2.104, 2.105) can be regarded as equations that define $(\gamma_s(n+1), \alpha_s(n+1))$ through the matrix $\mathcal{X}(n,Q)$. The later is uniquely defined by $(\gamma_s(n), \alpha_s(n), f_i(n))$. Hence:

Corollary 2.3 *The functions $f_i(n)$ and the Tyurin parameters (γ, α), which define the initial condition (2.102) of the corresponding discrete dynamical system, are the full set of data, which parameterize commuting operators with the given spectral curve.*

Example $g = 1,\ l = 2$. Let us consider a pair of commuting operators

$$L = \sum_{i=-2}^{2} u_i(n) T^i, \quad A = \sum_{i=-3}^{3} v_i(n) T^i \tag{2.106}$$

of the maximum rank $l = 2$. Their spectral curve Γ is an elliptic curve. Let $2\omega, 2\omega'$ be periods of Γ. Without loss of generality we identify the puncture P_0 with the point $z = 0$ in the fundamental domain of z-plane.

The operators (2.106) are uniquely defined by Tyurin parameters, and the parameters $f_i(n),\ i = -1, 0$, which are denoted below by

$$f_{-1} = c_{n+1}, \quad f_0 = v_{n+1}. \tag{2.107}$$

Our next goal is to find explicit formulae for the coefficients of commuting operators (2.106) with the help of equations of the discrete *dynamics* of Tyurin parameters. For brevity, let us introduce the notations

$$\gamma_n^1 = \gamma_1(n), \quad \gamma_n^2 = \gamma_2(n)\,. \tag{2.108}$$

For the vectors $\alpha_s^i,\ \ i = -1, 0$, which are defined up to a factor, we fix the normalization under which $\alpha_s(n)$ are two-dimensional row-vectors with the coordinates

$$\alpha_1(n) = (a_n^1, 1), \quad \alpha_2(n) = (a_n^2, 1). \tag{2.109}$$

According to Lemma 2.8, the data $\gamma_n^{1,2},\ a_n^{1,2},\ c_{n+1},\ v_{n+1}$ uniquely define the matrix $\mathcal{X}_n^{ji} = \mathcal{X}_n^{ji}(n,z),\ \ i,j = -1, 0$. Let us find its explicit form in terms of the standard Weierstrass functions. By definition, $\mathcal{X}_n$ has the form

$$\mathcal{X}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \chi_n^1(z) & \chi_n^2(z) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.110}$$

An elliptic function $\chi_n^1(z)$ has poles at $\gamma_n^{1,2}$ and equals $-c_{n+1}$ at $z = 0$. Therefore, it can be written as

$$\chi_n^1 = -c_{n+1} + A_1\left(\zeta(z-\gamma_n^1) + \zeta(\gamma_n^1)\right) + B_1\left(\zeta(z-\gamma_n^2) + \zeta(\gamma_n^2)\right), \tag{2.111}$$

where $\zeta(z)$ is the Weierstrass ζ-function.

The function χ_n^2 in the neighborhood of the puncture $z = 0$ has the form $\chi^2 = z^{-1} - v_{n+1} + O(z)$, i.e.

$$\chi_n^2 = -v_{n+1} + \zeta(z) + A_2\left(\zeta(z-\gamma_n^1) + \zeta(\gamma_n^1)\right) + B_2\left(\zeta(z-\gamma_n^2) + \zeta(\gamma_n^2)\right). \tag{2.112}$$

The sum of residues of an elliptic function equals zero. Hence,

$$A_1 + B_1 = 0, \quad A_2 + B_2 = -1. \tag{2.113}$$

At the same time

$$A_1 = a_n^1 A_2, \quad B_1 = a_n^2 B_2. \tag{2.114}$$

From (2.113,2.114) it follows that

$$\chi_n^1 = -c_{n+1} + \frac{a_n^1 a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2}\left(\zeta(z-\gamma_n^1) - \zeta(z-\gamma_n^2) + \zeta(\gamma_n^1) - \zeta(\gamma_n^2)\right), \tag{2.115}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_n^2 &= \zeta(z) - v_{n+1} + \frac{a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2}\left(\zeta(z-\gamma_n^1) + \zeta(\gamma_n^1)\right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{a_n^1}{a_n^2 - a_n^1}\left(\zeta(z-\gamma_n^2) + \zeta(\gamma_n^2)\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{2.116}$$

According to Lemma 2.7, the points γ_{n+1}^s are defined by the equation $\det \mathcal{X}_n(\gamma_{n+1}^s) = \chi^1(\gamma_{n+1}^s) = 0$. Hence,

$$c_{n+1} = \frac{a_n^1 a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2}\left(\zeta(\gamma_{n+1}^s - \gamma_n^1) - \zeta(\gamma_{n+1}^s - \gamma_n^2) + \zeta(\gamma_n^1) - \zeta(\gamma_n^2)\right) . \tag{2.117}$$

Note, that the sum $\gamma_n^1 + \gamma_n^2 = 2c$ does not depend on n, because γ_n^s are poles and γ_{n+1}^s are zeros of an elliptic function. Therefore,

$$\gamma_n^1 = \gamma_n + c, \quad \gamma_n^2 = -\gamma_n + c, \qquad c = const. \tag{2.118}$$

Using (2.118), equations (2.115,2.116) can be rewritten as

$$\chi_n^1 = \frac{a_n^1 a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2}\left[\zeta(z-\gamma_n-c) - \zeta(z+\gamma_n-c) - \zeta(\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_n) - \zeta(\gamma_{n+1}+\gamma_n)\right] \tag{2.119}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_n^2 &= -v_{n+1} + \zeta(z) + \frac{a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2}\left(\zeta(z-\gamma_n-c) + \zeta(\gamma_n+c)\right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{a_n^1}{a_n^2 - a_n^1}\left(\zeta(z+\gamma_n-c) - \zeta(\gamma_n-c)\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{2.120}$$

If the functions v_n and γ_n of the discrete variable n are chosen as independent parameters, then equation (2.117)

$$c_{n+1} = \frac{a_n^1 a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2}\left(\zeta(\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_n) - \zeta(\gamma_{n+1}+\gamma_n) + \zeta(\gamma_n+c) + \zeta(\gamma_n-c)\right) \tag{2.121}$$

can be regarded as the definition of the variables c_{n+1}.

From (2.105) it follows that

$$a_s(n+1) = -\chi_n^2(\gamma_s(n+1)). \tag{2.122}$$

Equation (2.120), implies recurrent relations defining $a_{n+1}^{1,2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1}^1 &= v_{n+1} - \zeta(\gamma_{n+1}+c) - \frac{a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2}\left(\zeta(\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_n) + \zeta(\gamma_n + c)\right) \\ & -\frac{a_n^1}{a_n^2 - a_n^1}\left(\zeta(\gamma_{n+1}+\gamma_n) - \zeta(\gamma_n - c)\right), \qquad (2.123) \\ a_{n+1}^2 &= v_{n+1} + \zeta(\gamma_{n+1}-c) + \frac{a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2}\left(\zeta(\gamma_{n+1}+\gamma_n) - \zeta(\gamma_n + c)\right) \\ & -\frac{a_n^1}{a_n^1 - a_n^2}\left(\zeta(\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_n) + \zeta(\gamma_n - c)\right). \qquad (2.124) \end{aligned}$$

As shown above, the arbitrary functions γ_n, v_n and a certain constant c define the matrix function $\mathcal{X}_n$, and as a corollary, define the coefficients of commuting rank 2 operators, corresponding to an elliptic curve. Each operator corresponds to an elliptic functions with the pole at the puncture $z = 0$. The simplest operator L_4 is of order 4 and corresponds to the Weierstrass function $\wp(z) = z^{-2} + 0(z^2)$.

Coefficients of this operator are coefficients of the expansion of $\wp(z)\psi_n\Psi_n^{-1}$ in the basis $\psi_{n+i}\Psi_n^{-1}, 2 \leq i \leq 2$. The expansion is determined by singular parts of the Laurent series at $z = 0$. Let $\tilde{\psi}_m$ be polynomials in $k = z^{-1}$, such that $\psi_m\Psi_n^{-1} = \tilde{\psi}_m + 0(k^{-1})$. Then

$$\tilde{\psi}_{n+2} = (-c_{n+1}, k - v_{n+1}), \quad \tilde{\psi}_{n+1} = (0, 1), \quad \tilde{\psi}_n = (1, 0). \qquad (2.125)$$

The polynomial $\tilde{\psi}_{n-1}$ can be found with the help of the equation $\Psi_{n-1} = \mathcal{X}_{n-1}^{-1}\Psi_n$,

$$\mathcal{X}_n^{-1} = \frac{1}{\chi_n^1}\begin{pmatrix} -\chi_n^2, & 1 \\ \chi_n^1, & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (2.126)$$

Let ξ_n^{ij} be coefficients of the expansions

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_n^1 &= -c_{n+1}\left(1 + \xi_n^{11}z + \xi_n^{12}z^2 + \cdots\right) \qquad (2.127) \\ \chi_n^2 &= k - v_{n+1} + \xi_n^{21}z + \cdots \qquad (2.128) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\tilde{\psi}_{n-1} = c_n^{-1}\left(k - v_n - \xi_{n-1}^{11}\ , -1\right). \qquad (2.129)$$

In a similar way $\tilde{\psi}_{n-2}$ can be found. After a long but straightforward calculation we find

$$L_4 = L_2^2 - \left(\xi_{n-1}^{11} + \xi_{n-2}^{11}\right)T + c_n\left(\xi_{n-1}^{11} + \xi_{n-2}^{11}\right)T^{-1} + u_n\,, \qquad (2.130)$$

where L_2 is the discrete Schrödinger operator with the coefficients

$$L_2 = T + v_n + c_nT^{-1}, \qquad (2.131)$$

and the function u_n is given by the formula

$$u_n = v_n\left(\xi_{n-1}^{11} - \xi_{n-2}^{11}\right) + \xi_{n-1}^{12} + \xi_{n-2}^{12} - \left(\xi_{n-2}^{11}\right)^2 - \left(\xi_{n-1}^{21} + \xi_{n-2}^{21}\right). \qquad (2.132)$$

Symmetric case. Let the constant c in (2.118) be zero, $c = 0$. Then χ_n^1 is an even function of z. Hence, $\xi_n^{11} = 0$. Equation (2.119) implies

$$\xi_n^{12} = -\frac{a_n^1 a_n^2}{(a_n^1 - a_n^2)}\,\frac{\wp'(\gamma_n)}{c_{n+1}}\,. \tag{2.133}$$

Using (2.121), we obtain

$$\xi_n^{12} = \frac{\wp'(\gamma_n)}{\zeta(\gamma_{n+1}+\gamma_n) - \zeta(\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_n) - 2\zeta(\gamma_n)} = \wp(\gamma_n) - \wp(\gamma_{n+1})\,. \tag{2.134}$$

(The last equation can be obtained from the addition formulae for the Weierstrass ζ-function, or by direct comparison of the poles and the residues of both sides of the equation.) From (2.120) it follows that

$$\xi_n^{21} = \wp(\gamma_n)\,. \tag{2.135}$$

Substitution of the last two formulae into (2.132) implies that the operator L_4 in the symmetric case equals

$$L_4 = L_2^2 - \wp(\gamma_n) - \wp(\gamma_{n-1}). \tag{2.136}$$

In the symmetric case the formulae for the coefficients of L_2 can by simplified. Let $F(u,v)$ be the elliptic function

$$F(u,v) = \zeta(u+v) - \zeta(u-v) - 2\zeta(v) = \frac{\wp'(v)}{\wp(v) - \wp(u)}\,. \tag{2.137}$$

Then, the formulae (2.121-2.124) for the symmetric case $c = 0$ can be represented in the form

$$c_{n+1} = -\frac{a_n^1 a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2} F(\gamma_{n+1},\gamma_n), \tag{2.138}$$

$$a_{n+1}^1 = v_{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}\left(F(\gamma_n,\gamma_{n+1}) + \frac{a_n^1 + a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2} F(\gamma_{n+1},\gamma_n)\right), \tag{2.139}$$

$$a_{n+1}^2 = v_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}\left(F(\gamma_n,\gamma_{n+1}) - \frac{a_n^1 + a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2} F(\gamma_{n+1},\gamma_n)\right). \tag{2.140}$$

The last two equations are equivalent to

$$a_{n+1}^1 - a_{n+1}^2 \;=\; F(\gamma_n,\gamma_{n+1}), \tag{2.141}$$

$$a_{n+1}^1 + a_{n+1}^2 \;=\; 2v_{n+1} + \frac{a_n^1 + a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2}\, F(\gamma_{n+1},\gamma_n). \tag{2.142}$$

Let us define s_n by the formula

$$s_n = -\frac{a_n^1 + a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2}\,. \tag{2.143}$$

Then

$$a_n^1 + a_n^2 = -s_n F(\gamma_{n-1},\gamma_n), \quad \frac{a_n^1 a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2} = -\frac{1}{4}\left(s_n^2 - 1\right) F(\gamma_{n-1},\gamma_n) \tag{2.144}$$

and equations (2.138, 2.142) can be rewritten as

$$4c_{n+1} = (s_n^2-1)F(\gamma_{n+1},\gamma_n)F(\gamma_{n-1},\gamma_n), \tag{2.145}$$

$$2v_{n+1} = s_nF(\gamma_{n+1},\gamma_n) - s_{n+1}F(\gamma_n,\gamma_{n+1}). \tag{2.146}$$

The last equation shows, that in the symmetric case the variables γ_n, s_n can be chosen as independent parameters. Then, equations (2.131,2.136,2.145,2.146) give explicit expressions for the coefficients of the operator L_4, which were presented in the introduction. In the similar way one can find coefficients of the operator A_6 .

3 High rank solutions of the $2D$ Toda lattice equations.

A key element of the algebro-geometric integration theory of non-linear equations is a construction of the *multiparametric* Baker-Akhiezer functions. These functions are defined by their analytic properties on a corresponding algebraic curve. Below we define the multiparametric Baker-Akhiezer vector-functions. They are deformations of the eigenfunctions of commuting operators of an arbitrary rank. The definitions are different in the cases of operators with separated or glued infinities.

3.1 Separated infinities.

As it was shown above, operators with separated infinities are defined by their algebro-geometric data: a spectral curve with two punctures and a set of Tyurin parameters. There no functional parameters in their construction. Functional parameters do appear in construction of the corresponding solutions of the $2D$ Toda lattice equations. It is necessary to stress that these functional parameters are functions of continuous variables, but not a discrete one, as in the construction of commuting operators with glued infinities. The functional parameters define *germ* functions $\Psi_\pm(t^\pm, z)$, depending on the corresponding half of the times of the hierarchy of the $2D$ Toda, and are entire functions of the variable z^{-1}.

Consider functions $\Psi_\pm(z)$ of the variable z^{-1}, such that

$$\oint_{|z|=\varepsilon} d\left(\ln\det\Psi_\pm\right) = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1 *Let Γ be a smooth genus g algebraic curve with fixed local coordinates $z_\pm$ in the neighborhoods of two punctures $P_\pm$. Then for a generic set of the Tyurin parameters of degree rg and rank r there is a unique vector-function $\psi_n(Q)$ such that:*

(i) its coordinates ψ_n^i, $i=0,\ldots,r-1$, outside the punctures $P^\pm$ have at most simple poles at the points γ_s, where the relations (2.55) hold;

(ii) in the neighborhoods of the punctures $P^\pm$ the function ψ_n has the form

$$\psi_{kr+j} = z_\pm^{\mp k}R_\pm(kr+j,z_\pm)\Psi_\pm(z_\pm)\ , \tag{3.2}$$

where $R_\pm(n,z)$ are holomorphic in the neighborhood of $z=0$ row-vectors with values at $z=0$ satisfying the normalization conditions

$$R_-^i(kr+j,0)=0,\ i\leq j\,,\quad R_+^i(kr+j,0)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} 0, & i>j, \\ 1, & i=j. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.3}$$

Equations (3.2) are equivalent to the Riemann factorization problem on Γ. The dimension of the space of its meromorphic solutions with poles at γ_s can be found from the Riemann-Roch theorem. This dimension equals the number of linear equations (2.55).

If the functions $\Psi_\pm=\Psi_\pm(t^\pm,z)$ depend on some independent variables $t^\pm=(t_j^\pm)$, then the corresponding vector-functions ψ_n are functions of the full set of the variables $\psi_n=\psi_n(t^+,t^-,Q)$. Let us define the dependence of $\Psi_\pm$ on $t^\pm$ such, that the corresponding Baker-Akhiezer functions give solutions of the $2D$ Toda lattice.

If we restrict ourselves to the construction of the $2D$ Toda equations (1.1), only, then the dependence on $t_1^+=\xi, t_1^-=\eta$ is defined by ordinary differential equations. Their coefficients are the functional parameters mentioned above.

Let $a_i(t_1^+), y_i(t_1^-)$ be a set of arbitrary functions. Then the germ functions $\Psi_\pm$ are defined with the help of the equations

$$\partial_{t_1^\pm}\Psi_\pm=M_\pm^{0,1}\Psi_\pm,\quad \Psi_\pm(0,z)=1, \tag{3.4}$$

where the matrices $M_\pm^{0,1}(t_1^\pm,z)$ have the form

$$M_+^{0,1}=\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ z^{-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{r-1} \end{pmatrix},\quad M_-^{0,1}=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_0z^{-1} \\ b_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_{r-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$b_i=e^{y_i-y_{i-1}},\ y_{-1}=y_{r-1}. \tag{3.6}$$

Theorem 3.1 *The Baker-Akhiezer vector-function ψ_n, corresponding to a generic set of data $\{\Gamma,P_\pm,z_\pm,(\gamma,\alpha),a_i,\ y_i\}$ satisfies the equations*

$$\partial_{t_1^+}\psi_n=\psi_{n+1}+v_n\psi_n,\quad \partial_{t_1^-}\psi_n=c_n\psi_{n-1}, \tag{3.7}$$

with the coefficients

$$v_n=\partial_{t_1^+}\varphi_n,\quad c_n=e^{\varphi_n-\varphi_{n-1}},$$

where

$$\varphi_{kr+i}=y_i(t_1^-)+\ln R_-^i(kr+i,0,t_1^+,t_1^-). \tag{3.8}$$

For each function $f\in\mathcal{A}(\Gamma,P^\pm)$ with the poles of order $n_\pm$ at the punctures $P^\pm$ there is a unique difference operator L_f of the form (2.2) with coefficients depending on $t_1^\pm$ such that $L_f\psi^i=f\psi^i$.

The compatibility condition of equations (3.7) is equivalent to the $2D$ Toda lattice equation (1.1).

Corollary 3.1 *The functions φ_n, given by (3.7), where the second term is defined by the evaluation at zero of the regular factor R_- in (3.2), are solutions of the $2D$ Toda lattice equations.*

Proof of the Theorem is standard and follows from comparison of analytical properties on Γ of the functions defined by the left and the right hand sides of (3.7). The proof does not relay essentially on the specific form (3.5) of the matrices $M_\pm^{0,1}$. The Theorem remains true if the matrices $M_\pm^{0,1}$ are replaced by matrices of the form

$$\widetilde{M}_\pm^{0,1} = M_\pm^{0,1} + m_\pm(t_1^\pm), \tag{3.9}$$

where entries $m_\pm^{ij}$ do not depend on z and satisfy the constraints

$$m_+^{ij} = 0, \;\; i < j, \quad m_-^{ij} = 0, i \geq j. \tag{3.10}$$

However, this extension of a set of the germ-functions provides no new solutions of the $2D$ Toda lattice equations. Indeed, expansion (3.2) and constraints (3.3) are invariant under the transformations

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_\pm = g_\pm \Psi_\pm, \quad \widetilde{R}_\pm = R_\pm g_\pm^{-1}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $g_-(t_1^-)$ is an upper-triangular matrix, and $g_+(t_1^+)$ is a lower-triangular matrix with 1 on the diagonal. Therefore, these transformations do not change the Baker-Akhiezer function. They correspond to the gauge transformations

$$\widetilde{M}_\pm^{0,1} \longmapsto g_\pm^{-1}\partial_{t_1^\pm} g_\pm - g_\pm^{-1}\widetilde{M}_\pm^{0,1} g_\pm. \tag{3.12}$$

In each gauge equivalence class there is a unique matrices satisfying the constraints $m_\pm = 0$.

In order to obtain solutions of the full hierarchy of the $2D$ Toda lattice equations it is enough to define a dependence of the germ functions $\Psi_\pm$ on the times $t_p^\pm$ with the help of the differential equations

$$\partial_{t_p^\pm} \Psi_\pm = M_\pm^{0,p}\, \Psi_\pm, \quad \Psi_\pm(0,z) = 1, \tag{3.13}$$

where the matrices $M_\pm^{0,i}(t^\pm, z)$ are polynomial functions of the variable z^{-1}. Compatibility conditions of (3.13) for each half of the times t_p^+ or t_p^- are gauge equivalent to one of the r-reducation of the KP hierarchy. Because our mein goal is a construction of solutions of equation (1.1), we will present an explicit description of the matrices $M_\pm^{0,p}$, $p > 1$, and detailed analysis of the corresponding auxiliary soliton system elsewhere.

3.2 One-puncture case

In in the previous case of the separated infinities, the dependence of one-puncture Baker-Alkhiezer function on the variables $t_p^\pm$ is completely determined by the dependence on these variables of the germ function $\Psi_0(n,t,z)$. The later is defined with the help of the equations

$$\partial_{t_p^\pm} \Psi_0(n,t,z) = M_\pm^{0,p}(n,t,z)\, \Psi_0(n,t,z)\,, \tag{3.14}$$

where the matrices $M_{\pm}^{0,i}(n,t,z)$ are polynomial functions of z^{-1}. Unlike the case of the separated infinities, even for the construction of solutions to the $2D$ Toda lattice equation the matrices $M_{\pm}^{0,1}$ should satisfy the compatibility condition for equations (3.14) for $p=1$ and the difference equation

$$\Psi_0(n+1,t,z) = \mathcal{X}_0(n,t,z)\Psi_0(n,z)\,, \tag{3.15}$$

which is equivalent to the definition of Ψ_0 as the Wronsky matrix, corresponding to solutions of (2.95). The matrix $\mathcal{X}_0 = \left(\mathcal{X}_0^{ij}\right)$, $r_- \le i,j < r_+ - 1$, has the form

$$\mathcal{X}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \chi^0_{-r_-} & \chi^0_{-r_-+1} & \chi^0_{-r_-+2} & \cdots & \chi^0_{r_+-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\chi_i^0 = z^{-1}\delta_{i,0} - f_i^0(n,t)\,. \tag{3.17}$$

Let $M_{\pm}^{0,1}$ have the form

$$M_+^{0,1} = \mathcal{X}_0 + A(n,t), \quad M_-^{0,1} = B(n,t)\mathcal{X}_0^{-1}, \tag{3.18}$$

where A and B are diagonal matrices

$$A = diag\{a(n-r_-,t),\ldots,a(n+r_+-1,t)\}, \;\; B = diag\{b(n-r_-,t),\ldots,b(n+r_+-1,t)\} \tag{3.19}$$

Then, compatibility of equations (3.14) for $p=1$ and (3.15) is equivalent to compatibility of the system

$$\partial_{t_1^+}\phi_n = \phi_{n+1} + a(n,t)\phi_n, \quad \partial_{t_1^-}\phi_n = b(n,t)\phi_{n-1},$$

and equation (2.95). Therefore, the functions $y_n(t)$ such that $b(n,t) = e^{y_n - y_{n-1}}$, are the solution of some reduction of the $2D$ Toda lattice equations onto stationary points of a linear combination of the hierarchy flows, corresponding to the times $t_{r_-}^-,\ldots,t_{r_+}^+$.

Let us fix a solution y_n of this reduction and denote the corresponding solution of the linear system (3.14, 3.15) by $\Psi_0(n,t_1^+,t_1^-,z)$. Then the following statement is valid.

Theorem 3.2 *([21]) For each smooth genus g algebraic curve Γ with fixed local coordinate z in the neighborhood of a puncture P_0, and each generic set of the Tyurin parameters (γ,α) of degree lg and rank l, there is a unique vector function $\psi_n(t_1^+,t_1^-,Q)$, with coordinates which outside the puncture P_0 have at most simple poles at the points γ_s. Their residues at these points satisfy relations (2.74). In the neighborhood of P_0 the row-vector ψ_n has the form*

$$\psi_n = \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\xi_s(n,t_1^+,t_1^-)z^s\right)\Psi_0(n,t_1^+,t_1^-,z), \;\; \xi_0^i = \delta_0^i\,. \tag{3.20}$$

The functions ψ_n satisfy the equations

$$\partial_{t_1^+}\psi_n = \psi_{n+1} + \left(\partial_{t_1^+}\varphi_n\right)\psi_n, \;\; \partial_{t_1^-}\psi_n = \left(e^{\varphi_n - \varphi_{n-1}}\right)\psi_{n-1}, \tag{3.21}$$

where φ_n is given by the formula

$$\varphi_n = y_n(t_1^+, t_1^-) + \ln\left(1 + \xi_1^{(-1)}(n, t_1^+, t_1^-)\right), \tag{3.22}$$

in which $\xi_1^{(-1)}$ is the coordinate with the index $i = -1$ of the vector ξ_1 in (3.20).

Example. In the case of rank $l = 2$, $r_\pm = 1$ the germ function Ψ_0 is defined by any solution of the one-dimensional Toda lattice equation

$$\ddot{y}_n = e^{y_n - y_{n-1}} - e^{y_{n+1} - y_n}, \tag{3.23}$$

and has the form

$$\Psi_0 = \Phi(n, t, z) e^{x z^{-1}}, \quad x = t_1^+ + t_1^-, \quad t = t_1^+ - t_1^-, \tag{3.24}$$

where Φ is the Wronsky matrix of the auxiliary linear problem for (3.23).

3.3 Deformations of the Tyurin parameters

The reconstruction problem of the Baker-Akhiezer vector-function is equivalent to the linear Riemann problem. As it was mentioned above, this problem in generic case can not be solved explicitly. At the same time the corresponding solutions of the $2D$ Toda lattice can be found with the help of the equations for the deformation of the Tyurin parameters.

Let $\Psi(n, t, Q)$ be the Wronsky matrix whose rows are the vector Baker-Akhiezer functions $\psi_{n+j}(t, Q)$. The corresponding deformation of the Tyurin parameters is defined as follows. In the generic case $\det \Psi(n, t, Q)$ has gl simple zeros $\gamma_s(n, t)$, and $\alpha_s(n, t)$ are defined as the corresponding left null-vector

$$\alpha_s(n, t) \Psi(n, t, \gamma_s(n, t)) = 0\,. \tag{3.25}$$

Difference equations describing the dynamics of Tyurin parameters with respect to the variable n, were obtained in Section 2.5. The equations for the continuous deformations follow from the earlier results of the authors [21].

Let us consider the logariphmic derivative of Ψ

$$\partial_{t_p^\pm} \Psi = M_\pm^p \Psi. \tag{3.26}$$

It is a meromorphic function on Γ and outside the puncture has simple poles at the points $\gamma_s = \gamma_s(n, t)$. In the neighborhood of γ_s it has the form

$$M = \frac{m_s \alpha_s}{z - z(\gamma_s)} + \mu_s + O(z - z(\gamma_s)), \tag{3.27}$$

where m_s is a vector-column. (For brevity we skip indices $p, \pm$.) The first two coefficients of expansion (3.27) define the equations of deformation with respect to the variable $t = t_\pm^p$

$$\partial_t\, z(\gamma_s) = -\mathrm{Tr}\,(m_s \alpha_s) = -(\alpha_s m_s), \quad \partial_t \alpha_s = -\alpha_s \mu_s + \kappa_s \alpha_s. \tag{3.28}$$

Here κ_s is a constant. Equation (3.28) is a well-defined dynamical system on the space of Tyurin parameters, which is the symmetric power $S^{gl}\left(\Gamma \times CP^{l-1}\right)$.

The compatibility condition

$$\partial_t \mathcal{X}_n = M_{n+1}\mathcal{X}_n - \mathcal{X}_n M_n \tag{3.29}$$

of the linear problems

$$\Psi_{n+1} = \mathcal{X}_n \Psi_n, \quad \partial_t \Psi_n = M_n \Psi_n, \tag{3.30}$$

is equivalent to a well-defined system of non-linear equations on *singular parts* of $\mathcal{X}_n$ и M_n in the neighborhood of the puncture. Here and below $\Psi_n = \Psi(n,t,Q),\ \mathcal{X}_n = \mathcal{X}(n,t,Q),\ M_n = M(n,t,Q)$.)

Discrete analog of the Krichever-Novikov equation. Let us consider as an instructive example the nonlinear equations in the case $l = 2$ and $g = 1$. Recall, that in this case the coefficients of the linear system defining the germ function Φ in (3.24) have the form

$$\mathcal{X}_n^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0, & 1 \\ -c_{n+1}^0, & k - v_{n+1}^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_n^0 = \begin{pmatrix} -k + 2v_n^0, & 2 \\ -2c_{n+1}^0, & k \end{pmatrix}, \quad k = z^{-1}. \tag{3.31}$$

The Lax equations for this systems are equivalent to the equations of the one-dimensional Toda lattice.

The leading terms of the Laurent expansion of the "dressed"matrices $\mathcal{X}_n$ (see. (2.110)) and M_n have the same form (3.31) but with different functions c_n, v_n. In particular, the matrix M_n in the neighborhood of $z = 0$ has the form

$$M_n = \begin{pmatrix} 2v_n - k, & 2 \\ -2c_{n+1}, & k \end{pmatrix} + m_n k^{-1} + O(k^{-2}),\ k = z^{-1}. \tag{3.32}$$

Equations (3.29) imply

$$\dot c_{n+1} = 2c_{n+1}(v_{n+1} - v_n), \quad \dot v_{n+1} = 2(c_{n+2} - c_{n+1}) + m_n^{22} - m_{n+1}^{22}. \tag{3.33}$$

Additional terms m_n^{ij} can be expressed through c_n, v_n and the Tyurin parameters γ_n^s, a_n^s. Our goal is to get a closed system of equations using the dynamics of Tyurin parameters.

For simplicity we consider *the symmetric case*, in which the constant c in (2.118) equals zero, $c = 0$. From the definition of M_n, it follows that

$$M_n^{21} = -c_{n+1} + \mathcal{X}_n^{21}, \quad M_n^{22} = v_{n+1} + \mathcal{X}_n^{22}. \tag{3.34}$$

Hence,

$$m_n^{22} = \xi_n^{21} = \wp(\gamma_n). \tag{3.35}$$

Substitution of this formula in в (3.33) gives

$$\dot v_{n+1} = 2(c_{n+2} - c_{n+1}) + \wp(\gamma_n) - \wp(\gamma_{n+1}). \tag{3.36}$$

Equation (3.28) implies

$$\dot\gamma_n = -\mathrm{res}_{\gamma_n} M_n = -\frac{a_n^1 + a_n^2}{a_n^1 - a_n^2}\ . \tag{3.37}$$

Therefore, $\dot\gamma_n$ can be identified with the variables s_n, defined in (2.143), and equations (2.145, 2.146) can be represented in the form

$$\begin{aligned} 4c_{n+1} &= (\dot\gamma_n^2 - 1)F(\gamma_{n+1}, \gamma_n)F(\gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n), & (3.38)\\ 2v_{n+1} &= \dot\gamma_n F(\gamma_{n+1}, \gamma_n) - \dot\gamma_{n+1} F(\gamma_n, \gamma_{n+1}). & (3.39)\end{aligned}$$

Let us present two identities, which will be used below.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_u \ln F(u, v) &= -F(v, u), & (3.40)\\ \partial_v \ln F(u, v) &= -F(u, v) + 2\zeta(2v) - 4\zeta(v), & (3.41)\end{aligned}$$

where the elliptic function $F(u, v)$ is given by (2.137). The identities can be verified by comparing of singularities of their right and left hand sides. Substitution of (3.38,3.39) into the first equation (3.33), gives with the help of (3.40, 3.41) the equation

$$\ddot\gamma_n = (\dot\gamma_n^2 - 1)\left(V(\gamma_n, \gamma_{n+1}) + V(\gamma_n, \gamma_{n+1})\right)\ , \tag{3.42}$$

where

$$V(u, v) = \zeta(u + v) + \zeta(u - v) - \zeta(2u). \tag{3.43}$$

In the same way it is possible to check that the substitution of (3.38,3.39) into (3.36) gives the same system (3.42).

Equations (3.42) are Hamiltonian system of equation with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_n \ln\left(\mathrm{sh}^{-2}\left(p_n/2\right)\right) + \ln\left(\wp(x_n - x_{n-1}) - \wp(x_n + x_{n-1})\right). \tag{3.44}$$

This system was obtained by one of the authors in [30], as the solution of reconstruction problem of an integrable system corresponding to a given family of the spectral curves. Inverse problems of this kind are natural in the framework of the Seiberg-Witten theory in which families of curves parameterize moduli of nonequivalent physical vacuum states in supersymmetric gauge models.

In [30] the system (3.42) was called elliptic analog of the Toda lattice. After a suitable change of variables it coincides with one of systems obtained in [31] in the framework of solution of the classification problem of integrable chains. In [30] the system (3.42) was identified with the pole system, describing solutions of the $2D$ Toda lattice that are elliptic in x. An appearance of the same system in the theory of rank 2 solutions of the $2D$ Toda lattice equation came for authors as a complete surprise.

Список литературы

[1] Krichever I.M., Novikov S.P. *Holomrphic vector bundles on Riemann surfaces and the Kadomtcev-Petviashvili equation* Funk. anal i pril. 12 (1978), n 4, 41-52.

[2] Krichever I.M.*Commutative rings of ordinary differential operators*, Funk. anal i pril. 12 (1978), n 3, 20-31.

[3] Dubrovin B.A., Matveev V.B., Novikov S.P. *Nonlinear equations of the Korteweg-deVries type, finite-gap linear operators and abelian varieties*, Uspechi Mat. Nauk 31 (1976), n 1, 55-136.

[4] Krichever I.M.*Methods of algebraic geometry in a theory of non-linear equations*, Uspechi Mat. Nauk 32 (1977), n 6, 183-208.

[5] Dubrovin B.A., Krichever I.M., Novikov S.P. *Integrable systems*, Dynamical systems 4 (1985). Fundamental problems of Mathematics. Itogi nauki i techniki. VINITI AN SSSR.

[6] Zakharov V.E., Manakov S.V., Novikov S.P., Pitaevski L.P. *Theory of solitons. Inverse problem method*, M.: Nauka, 1980

[7] Novikov S.P. *Periodic Korteweg-de Vries problem*, Funk. anal i pril. и его прил. 8 (1974), в. 3, 54-66.

[8] Zakharov V.E., Shabat A.B. *Integration method of nonlinear equations of mathematical physics with the help of inverse scattering problem*, Funk. anal i pril. 8 (1974), в. 3, 43-53.

[9] Druma V.S. *On analytic solution of the two-dimensional Korteweg-de Vries equation*, JETP Letters 19 (1974), в. 12, 219-225.

[10] Burchnall J.L., Chaundy T.W. *Commutative ordinary differential operators.I*, Proc. London Math Soc. 21 (1922) , 420-440.

[11] Burchnall J.L., Chaundy T.W. *Commutative ordinary differential operators.II*, Proc. Royal Soc.London 118 (1928) , 557-583.

[12] Dixmier J. *On Weyl algebras*, Matematika 13 (1969), n 4,27-40.

[13] Drinfeld V.G. *On commutative subrings of some noncommutative rings*, Funk. anal i pril. 11 (1977), n 1, 11-31.

[14] Krichever I.M. *Algebraic curves and non-linear difference equations*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 33 (1978), n 4, 215-216.

[15] Mumford D. *An algebro-geometric construction of commuting operators and of solutions to the Toda lattice equation, Korteweg-de Vries equation and related nonlinear equations*, in: Proccedings of International Symposium on Algebraic Geometry (Kyoto Univ., Kyoto 1977), Kinokuniya Book Store, Tokyo, 1978, 115-153.

[16] Krichever I.M., Novikov S.P. *Virasoro type algebras, Riemann surfaces and structurs of the soliton theory*, Funk. anal i pril. 21 (1987), n 2, 46-63.

[17] Krichever I. *Vector bundles and Lax equations on algebraic curves*, Commun. Math. Phys. 229 (2002), 229-269.

[18] Hitchin N., *Stable bundles and integrable systems*, Duke Math. Journ. **54** (1) (1987) 91-114.

[19] Krichever I.M., Novikov S.P. *Holomorphic vector bundles on algebraic curves and non-linear equations*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 35 (1980), n 6, 47-68.

[20] Grinevich P.G. *Rational solutions of the commutativity equation of differential operators*, Funk. anal i pril. 16 (1982), в. 1, 19–24.

[21] Krichever I.M., Novikov S.P. *Holomorphic vector bundles and scalar difference operators. One-point constructions*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 55 (2000), n 1, 187-188.

[22] Krichever I.M., Novikov S.P. *Holomorphic vector bundles and scalar difference operators. Two-point constructions*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 55 (2000),3, 181-182.

[23] Dubrovin B.A., Krichever I.M., Novikov S.P. *Schrödinger equation in magnetic field and Riemann surfaces*, Doklady AN USSR 229 (1976), n 1, 15-18.

[24] Mikhailov A.V. *Integrability of two-dimensional generalization of the Toda lattice* JETP 30 (1979), 443-448

[25] Tsiseika G. *Geometrie Differentielle projective des reseans*, Paris-Budapest, 1924.

[26] A.Tyurin, *Classification of vector bundles over an algebraic curve of arbitrary genus*, Amer.Math.Soc.Translat., II, Ser 63 (1967), 245-279.

[27] Rodin Yu.L. *Riemann boundary problem for diferentials on Riemann surfaces* Zapiski Permskogo University, (1960), в. 2, 83-85.

[28] Koppelman W. *Singular integral equations, boundary value problem and Riemann-Roch theorem* J. Math. and Mech., 10:2 (1961), 247-277.

[29] Grinevich P.G. *Vector ra nk of commuting matrix differential operators.* (Russian) Izvestiya AN USSR, ser. math 50 (1986), v. 3, 458–478.

[30] Krichever I. *Elliptic analog of the Toda lattice*, IMRN (2000), n 8, 383-412;

[31] Adler V.E., Shabat A.B., *Generalized Legendre transformations*, Theor. Math. Phys. 112(1997), n 2, 935-947.